Does human endometrial LGR5 gene expression suggest the existence of another hormonally regulated epithelial stem cell niche?
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STUDY QUESTION: Is human endometrial leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein-coupled receptor 5 (LGR5) gene expression limited to the postulated epithelial stem cell niche, stratum basalis glands, and is it hormonally regulated?

SUMMARY ANSWER: LGR5 expressing cells are not limited to the postulated stem cell niche but LGR5 expression is hormonally regulated.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: The human endometrium is a highly regenerative tissue; however, endometrial epithelial stem cell markers are yet to be confirmed. LGR5 is a marker of stem cells in various epithelia.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: The study was conducted at a University Research Institute. Endometrial samples from 50 healthy women undergoing benign gynaecological surgery with no endometrial pathology at the Liverpool Women’s hospital were included and analysed in the following six sub-categories; proliferative, secretory phases of menstrual cycle, postmenopausal, those using oral and local progestagens and samples for in vitro explant culture.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: In this study, we used the gold standard method, in situ hybridisation (ISH) along with qPCR and a systems biology approach to study the location of LGR5 gene expression in full thickness human endometrium and Fallopian tubes. The progesterone regulation of endometrial LGR5 was examined in vivo and in short-term cultured endometrial tissue explants in vitro. LGR5 expression was correlated with epithelial proliferation (Ki67), and expression of previously reported epithelia progenitor markers (SOX9 and SSEA-1) immunohistochemistry (IHC).

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: LGR5 gene expression was significantly higher in the endometrial luminal epithelium than in all other epithelial compartments in the healthy human endometrium, including the endometrial stratum basalis (P < 0.05). The strongest SSEA-1 and SOX9 staining was observed in the stratum basalis glands, but the general trend of SOX9 and SSEA-1 expression followed the same cyclical pattern of expression as LGR5. Stratum functionalis epithelial Ki67-LI and LGR5 expression levels correlated significantly (r = 0.74, P = 0.01), however, they did not correlate in luminal and stratum basalis epithelium (r = 0.5 and 0.13, respectively). Endometrial LGR5 demonstrates a dynamic spatiotemporal expression pattern, suggesting hormonal regulation. Oral and local progestogens significantly reduced endometrial LGR5 mRNA levels compared with women not on hormonal treatment (P < 0.01). Our data were in agreement with in silico analysis of published endometrial microarrays.

LARGE SCALE DATA: We did not generate our own large scale data but interrogated publically available large scale data sets.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: In the absence of reliable antibodies for human LGR5 protein and validated lineage markers for the various epithelial populations that potentially exist within the endometrium, our study does not formally characterise or examine the functional ability of the resident LGR5+ cells as multipotent.
Introduction

The human endometrium is a highly regenerative tissue, which undergoes over 400 cycles of menstrual shedding and re-growth in a woman’s life time. It is composed of two functionally distinct layers, the superficial stratum functionalis and the deeper stratum basalis. The stratum functionalis is completely shed with menstruation and fully regenerated within 2 weeks, up to a thickness of 16 mm (Fleischer, 1999). This impressive regeneration implies that a stem cell population may reside within the endometrial glands. The location of stem/progenitor cells of the endometrium is postulated to be within the stratum basalis, which remains after the menstrual shedding of the stratum functionalis (Prianishnikov, 1978; Gargett, 2016).

Of the two main endometrial specific cell types, the mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells that give rise to stromal cells are well described and studied (Gargett et al., 2016). However, the evidence for an endometrial epithelial stem cell population is sparse. Previous work suggests that SSEA-1 and SOX9 expressing epithelial cell subpopulations have some ability to generate gland-like structures in vitro (Valentijn et al., 2013; Turco et al., 2017), but as yet there are no other epithelial markers with the location or functional characterisation suggestive of stem cell specificity described in the endometrium.

Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein-coupled receptor 5 (LGR5) is a transmembrane receptor (Barker et al., 2007) which belongs to a family of glycoprotein hormone receptors (Sun et al., 2009). LGR5 is a marker of stem cells in various epithelia such as the intestinal mucosa (Schuijers and Clevers, 2012), gastric mucosa (Barker et al., 2010), hair follicles (Jaks et al., 2008) and kidneys (Barker et al., 2012). In mammary epithelium Lgr5+ cells contribute to both luminal and basal epithelia (de Visser et al., 2012) and are essential to reconstituting mammary glands from single cells (Plaks et al., 2013). In the intestine, LGR5 was shown to be a Wnt target gene, regulating epithelial regeneration with a restricted expression (visualised by in situ hybridisation (ISH)) in the intestinal crypt base (Barker et al., 2007; Schuijers and Clevers, 2012). These basal crypt cells, were previously proposed to be an adult intestinal stem cell population, but their formal functional confirmation awaited the discovery of a specific marker (Leushacke and Barker, 2012). Subsequent work on Lgr5+ cells from the intestine, using in vivo lineage tracing and a heritable-inducible lacZ reporter gene, showed that Lgr5+ cells are long-lived, multipotent stem cells (Gerbe et al., 2011), and a single Lgr5+ stem cell can form organoids with a gut-like architecture containing all epithelial cell types (Schuijers and Clevers, 2012).

LGR5 is expressed in the female reproductive organs. Lgr5 marks stem/progenitor cells of the rodent ovary and the oviduct (Flesken-Nikitin et al., 2013; Ng et al., 2014) where it is critical for the maintenance of a functional corpus luteum and therefore, for successful pregnancy (Sun et al., 2014). In immature and in ovarian hormone deprived mice, Lgr5 is highly expressed in the single layer of epithelial lining the uterine cavity and progesterone treatment down-regulated Lgr5, suggesting an ovarian hormonal regulation (Sun et al., 2009; Boretto et al., 2017). However, mice do not menstruate, their oestrous cycle is characterised by complete reabsorption of the endometrial lining and therefore their epithelial regeneration pattern is proposed to be distinct from women (Gargett et al., 2016). In the human ovary and distal Fallopian tube (fimbriae), LGR5 expression was confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (Ng et al., 2014), with constitutive LGR5 mRNA expression reported in healthy human endometrial epithelium throughout the menstrual cycle (Schuijers and Clevers, 2012; Krusche et al., 2007).

The specificity of the available anti-human-LGR5 antibodies is disputed and in general, the antibody based protein expression data do not correlate with RNA data (Munoz et al., 2012). Thus, ISH is considered as the gold standard to detect LGR5 expressing cells in a solid tissue (Munoz et al., 2012). Therefore in the human endometrium, antibody based studies need further validation (Cervello et al., 2017; Gil-Sanchis et al., 2013) to confirm the exact LGR5 expressing cell population and to elucidate the function and regulation of the LGR5 gene in those cells.

We examined the cellular localisation of LGR5 in all epithelial compartments of the human endometrium by ISH. As the human Fallopian tube shares the same embryological origin and exists as a continuum with the endometrium, we compared the expression of LGR5 in the epithelial mucosa of the endometrium with that of the fimbrial end of the Fallopian tube (due to its known stem cell enrichment (Auersperg, 2013)) and Lgr5 expression (Ng et al., 2014). The hormone regulation of LGR5 in the endometrium was also studied in vitro and in vivo. Finally, published microarray datasets were interrogated to confirm LGR5 expression and its progesterone regulation in the endometrium.

Materials and Methods

Human tissue

Human endometrium and tubal fimbriae was obtained from 50 women undergoing benign gynaecological surgery with no endometrial pathology at the Liverpool Women’s hospital (Supplementary Table S1), granted under Local Research Ethics (REC references; 09/H1005/55 and 11/H1005/4). Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

The cycle phase of the endometrium was assigned according to the last menstrual period and histological criteria (Noyes et al., 1975; Dallenbach-Hellweg, 2012). Endometrium and the distal (fimbrial) end of the Fallopian tube samples were divided in to two pieces; one was fixed (≥24 h in 4%
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(v/v) buffered formalin and paraffin-embedded for IHC and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining, and the other immediately placed in to RNAlater (Sigma, Dorset, UK) for RNA extraction and qRT-PCR. A further six endometrial samples from the proliferative phase of the cycle were collected in reduced serum (1%) Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)/F12 media for short-term explant culture. IHC and IHC staining for all antibodies was analysed with specific reference to the three different endometrial epithelial compartments, the luminal epithelium (the single layer of cells that forms the luminal surface or lining of the uterine cavity), the stratum functionalis (glands in the upper two-thirds of the endometrium below the luminal epithelium, surrounded by sparse stroma) and the stratum basalis (glands in the lower one-third of the endometrium adjacent to the endo-myometrial junction, surrounded by densely packed stroma) in full-thickness endometrial tissue sections. Sequential sections were stained with pancytokeratin to confirm the assignment of epithelial compartment.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA from tissue samples was extracted using TRIzol Plus RNA Purification System (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), and quantified by NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Total RNA was reverse transcribed using AMV First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (New England Bio Labs, Hertfordshire, UK) after DNase treatment (DNase I ( #M0303), New England Bio Labs, Hertfordshire, UK), using the manufacturer’s protocol as previously described (Kamal et al., 2016). cDNA was amplified by qPCR using iQ SYBR Green supermix (Biorad) with the Biorad connect and the following primers: LGR5 forward (5’-CCTGCTTGACTTTGAGGAAGACC), LGR5 reverse (5’-CCAGCCATCAAGGGTTTCA), GAPDH forward (5’-AATCCCATACCACTTCTCCA) and GAPDH reverse (5’-TGGACTCCAGCAGTACTCA). Relative transcript expression was calculated using the ΔΔCT method, normalised to the reference gene GAPDH, using Biorad CFX manager.

ISH

ISH for LGR5 expression was performed as previously described (Baker et al., 2015) using the RNAscope 2.5 High Definition Brown assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Hayward, CA) as detailed in supplementary methods. RNAscope probes used were LGR5 (NM_003667.2, region 560–1589, catalogue number 311021), POU2A (positive control probe, NM_000937.4, region 2514–3433, catalogue number 310451) and d2p8 (negative control probe, EF191515, region 414–862, catalogue number 310043) (Supplementary Fig. S1). LGR5 expression was quantified according to the five-grade scoring system recommended by the manufacturer previously described (Baker et al., 2015) (0 = No staining or less than 1 dot to every 10 cells (40x magnification), 1 = 1–3 dots/cell (visible at 20–40x magnification), 2 = 4–10 dots/cell, very few dot clusters (visible at 20–40x magnification), 3 = >10 dots/cell, less than 10% positive cells have dot clusters (visible at 20x magnification), 4 = >100 dots/cell, more than 10% positive cells have dot clusters (visible at 20x magnification)).

IHC

IHC was performed on sequential tissue sections according to standard protocol as previously described (Valentijn et al., 2013). Primary antibodies (mouse pan-monoclonal anti-cytokeratin (C2562, Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) at 1:4000, goat polyclonal anti-SOX9 (a3075, R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) at 1:400, mouse monoclonal anti-Ki67 (NCL-Ki67-MM1, Novocastra, Newcastle, UK) at 1:200, mouse monoclonal anti-SSEA-1 (125601/2, Biolegend, San Diego, CA) at 1:800 dilution) were incubated overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. All slides were scanned using an Aperio CS2 scanner (http://www.leicabiosystems.com/digital-pathology/aperio-digital-pathology-slide-scanners/products/aperio-cs2/) and analysed using spectrum, ScanScope®.

Analysis of IHC

Percentage of nuclear Ki67 immuno-positive cells of any intensity was evaluated as the Ki67 labelling index (Ki67-LI) (AI Kushi et al., 2002; Kamal et al., 2016) and the three epithelial compartments were scored separately. SOX9 and SSEA-1 immunostaining was assessed as previously described (Valentijn et al., 2013), and detailed in Supplementary methods.

Explant culture

Endometrial explant cultures were prepared from freshly collected endometrial biopsies and treated with 1 μM medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) or ethanol (vehicle control) for 24 h as previously described (Valentijn et al., 2015). Harvested tissue after treatment was washed with PBS, immersed in RNAlater and frozen for qRT-PCR (Valentijn et al., 2015).

Systems biology

We extended our experimental data by examining all published microarray datasets of normal, premenopausal endometrial samples from women not on hormonal treatments to explore progesterone regulation of the LGR5 gene in the secretory compared with the proliferative menstrual cycle phase (n = 65) (Tabli et al., 2006; Burney et al., 2007; Nguyen et al., 2012; Sjoegrersson et al., 2017) and in the sorted healthy normal endometrial epithelial side population cells that enrich for the endometrial epithelial stem cell population, against unsorted epithelial cells (Cervello et al., 2010) (n = 8/group). The in silico methodology using P0SSUM (http://www.cisreg.ca/p0SSUM/), Con Tra V3, illumina’s BaseSpace Correlation Engine (BSECE; (Kupershmidt et al., 2010) software; http://www.illumina.com/informatics/research/biological-data-interpretation/nextbio.html; Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and Ingenuity (IPA) software programmes is detailed in supplementary methods (Supplementary Table II–IV). (Mathew et al., 2016; Broos et al., 2011; Kupershmidt et al., 2010; Cervello et al., 2010; Burney et al., 2007; Tabli et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2012; Sjoegrersson et al., 2017)

Statistical methods

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (Mann–Whitney U and one-way ANOVA was used to assess differences between groups). Spearman rank correlation was used to determine the association between pairs of variables. The criterion for significance was P ≤ 0.05.

Results

Healthy human premenopausal endometrium demonstrated dynamic spatiotemporal regulation of LGR5 expression with high LGR5 expressing cells in the luminal and in the stratum basalis epithelium

Full thickness whole endometrial tissue samples containing all endometrial layers and cell types from the oestrogen dominant, proliferative phase of the cycle showed a trend of higher LGR5 mRNA expression levels (as measured by qRT-PCR) compared with the samples from the progesterone dominant secretory phase of the menstrual cycle (P = 0.5, Fig. 1A). LGR5 mRNA levels were significantly higher in the stem cell rich distal Fallopian tubes than in the...
Figure 1  LGR5 gene expression appears to decrease in the eutopic endometrium and Fallopian tube in the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle. (A) The eutopic endometrial samples and fallopian tube express apparently decreased levels of LGR5 mRNA in the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle when compared with the proliferative phase (n = 21). (B) Fallopian tube (at any stage of the cycle) demonstrate significantly higher levels of LGR5 mRNA than matched eutopic endometrium (P < 0.01) (n = 20). (C) Representative LGR5 ISH images of Fallopian tube and luminal, stratum functionalis and stratum basalis eutopic endometrium in the proliferative and secretory stages of the menstrual cycle (All images x1000, scale bar = 20 μm, (n = 15)). (D) Graphical representation of semi-quantitative scoring of LGR5 ISH. In the proliferative stage of the cycle, the luminal epithelium demonstrated significantly higher LGR5 ISH staining scores than the functionalis (P < 0.05), basal epithelium (P < 0.01) and Fallopian tube (P < 0.02) as well as the luminal epithelium of the secretory phase (P < 0.03); the proliferative functionalis had significantly higher LGR5 scores than the secretory functionalis (P < 0.04); the secretory luminal epithelium showed significantly higher LGR5 scores than the epithelium of secretory stratum functionalis (P < 0.03), secretory stratum basalis (P < 0.05) and Fallopian tube (P < 0.02) (n = 7 per group) (Prol = Proliferative, Sec = Secretory Funct = stratum Functionalis, Bas = stratum Basalis).
Figure 2  Ki67-Labelling Index (Ki67-LI) correlated with endometrial epithelial cell proliferation only in the epithelial compartment of the stratum functionalis. Epithelial LGR5 expression scores also correlated with the expression of the previously known progenitor markers SOX9 and SSEA-1 in sequential tissue sections across the cycle. (A) Representative images of Ki67, SOX9 and SSEA-1 IHC in luminal, stratum functionalis, stratum basalis epithelial compartments of the eutopic endometrium and Fallopian tubes in the proliferative and secretory stages of the menstrual cycle (all images ×400, scale bar 10 μm). (B) Quantification
The stratum basalis glands. Ki67-LI and LGR5 expression pattern (median 1%, range 0–90%) in the stratum basalis glands agreeing with previous reports (Valentijn et al., 2013) (Fig. 2A). It is noteworthy that the luminal staining for both SSEA-1 and SOX9 was consistently high throughout the cycle, even when their expression decreased in the stratum functionalis epithelium in the secretory phase (Fig. 2A).

In the Fallopian tube, SOX9 staining scores and LGR5 ISH scores were high throughout the menstrual cycle, similar to the stratum basalis glands of the endometrium with only an apparent reduction in the intensity during the secretory phase (Fig. 1D). In contrast, SSEA-1 scores were very low in the tubal epithelium in all phases of the cycle. The co-expression of SSEA-1 protein and LGR5 mRNA by ISH was further confirmed with immunofluorescence staining (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Progestogens regulate LGR5 expression In vitro and in vivo

The progestogenic regulation of LGR5 expression was examined by treating endometrial explants in vitro with the synthetic progestogen MPA in short-term culture and MPA treatment decreased LGR5 levels by 1.5-fold (Fig. 3A). The in vivo effect of progestogens on the endometrial expression of LGR5, was tested in endometrial samples from women taking synthetic progestogen treatment (progesterone only pill, ‘POP’, or levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system, ‘LNG-IUS’) and a significant reduction of LGR5 mRNA levels was observed with progestogen treatment compared with the normal eutopic endometrium of women not on hormonal treatments (P < 0.01, Fig. 3B). Even with these very low levels, the luminal epithelium continued to retain higher LGR5 expression than the glands following progestogen treatment (Fig. 3C and D).

LGR5 expression did not correlate with epithelial cell proliferation in progesterone treated human endometrial samples

The luminal and glandular epithelial Ki67-LI was much higher in the POP samples when compared to the LNG-IUS treated endometrium (POP luminal median 50%, range 40–75%, glands median 70%, range 50–85%, LNG-IUS luminal median 1%, range 0–1%, glands median 2% range 0–2%, Fig. 4A and B). Therefore, the Ki67-LI levels in the POP treated samples did not correlate with the levels of LGR5 expression, whereas in the atrophic glandular and luminal epithelial cells of the LNG-IUS samples there was low levels of both LGR5 and Ki67-LI.

In silico analysis of published microarray data revealed potential LGR5 regulating genes confirming progestagenic control

One hundred and thirty-three out of the 331 potential LGR5 regulating transcription factors (TFs) were differentially regulated in the progesterone treated samples when compared to the samples from the proliferative phase (Fig. 2A). However, out of all three endometrial epithelial compartments, the strongest LGR5 expression was seen in the luminal epithelium (Fig. 1C and D) whereas the strongest SSEA-1 and SOX9 staining was observed in the stratum basalis glands agreeing with previous reports (Valentijn et al., 2013) (Fig. 2A). The expression of SSEA-1 protein and LGR5 mRNA by ISH was further confirmed with immunofluorescence staining (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Sequential tissue sections were employed to examine if the cellular location of LGR5 mRNA (by ISH) was consistent with the expression of previously described endometrial basalis progenitor markers SSEA-1 and nuclear SOX9 (by IHC, Fig. 1A). In general, SOX9 and SSEA-1 expression followed the same cyclical pattern of expression as LGR5: levels decreased in all three endometrial epithelial compartments and also in the tubal epithelium in the secretory phase when compared with the proliferative phase (Fig. 2A). The corresponding eutopic endometrium (P < 0.01, Fig. 1B). The cell type expressing LGR5 was identified with ISH, demonstrating that LGR5 expression was limited to the epithelial compartment in both endometrium and tube. Semi-quantitative scoring of LGR5 expression revealed that the luminal epithelial cells expressed significantly higher levels of LGR5 than all other epithelial compartments in the endometrium (P < 0.05, Fig. 1C and D) including the endometrial stratum basalis. The reduction in LGR5 expression in the secretory phase was confirmed with ISH in the luminal (P = 0.03) and functionalis epithelium (P = 0.04) respectively (Fig. 1C and D).

LGR5 expression correlated with endometrial epithelial cell proliferation in the stratum functionalis epithelial compartment

The differences in the cellular proliferative activity in the three endometrial epithelial compartments across the menstrual cycle were demonstrated by the dynamic changes in the expression of the proliferative marker Ki67 (Fig. 2A and B) in sequential tissue sections. Epithelial Ki67-LI was highest in the proliferative phase with the maximum Ki67-LI observed in the cells of stratum functionalis glands (median 70%, range 10–100%) and the lowest Ki67-LI seen in the stratum basalis epithelium (median 30%, range 0–85%). Ki67-LI was higher in the stratum functionalis and in luminal epithelium compared with the stratum basalis glands in all phases of the cycle. In the secretory phase, Ki67-LI in all epithelial compartments decreased, with the luminal epithelial compartment demonstrating the highest Ki67-LI (median 1%, range 0–90%) and Ki67-LI was absent in the stratum basalis glands. Ki67-LI and LGR5 expression levels only correlated significantly (r = 0.74, P = 0.01) in the stratum functionalis epithelium. The stratum basalis LGR5 expression persisted in the secretory phase (Fig. 1D) while the corresponding Ki67-LI reactivity decreased significantly (P = 0.03) (Fig. 2B). The quiescent (absent Ki67-LI) atrophic postmenopausal endometrial epithelium also expressed LGR5 (particularly the luminal epithelium) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Epithelial proliferation (Ki67-LI) in the Fallopian tube was consistently very low throughout the cycle, contrasting with the dynamic tubal LGR5 expression pattern (r = 0.23, P = 0.55).

In the human endometrium, luminal and basalis epithelia share distinct patterns of co-expression of LGR5 and the previously known progenitor markers SSEA-1 and SOX9

Sequential tissue sections were employed to examine if the cellular location of LGR5 mRNA (by ISH) was consistent with the expression of previously described endometrial basalis progenitor markers SSEA-1 and nuclear SOX9 (by IHC, Fig. 2A). In general, SOX9 and SSEA-1 expression followed the same cyclical pattern of expression as LGR5: levels decreased in all three endometrial epithelial compartments and also in the tubal epithelium in the secretory phase when compared with the samples from the proliferative phase (Fig. 2A). However, out of all three endometrial epithelial compartments, the strongest LGR5 expression was seen in the luminal epithelium (Fig. 1C and D) whereas the strongest SSEA-1 and SOX9 staining was observed in the stratum basalis glands agreeing with previous reports (Valentijn et al., 2013) (Fig. 2A). It is noteworthy that the luminal staining for both SSEA-1 and SOX9 was consistently high throughout the cycle, even when their expression decreased in the stratum functionalis epithelium in the secretory phase (Fig. 2A).

In the Fallopian tube, SOX9 staining scores and LGR5 ISH scores were high throughout the menstrual cycle, similar to the stratum basalis glands of the endometrium with only an apparent reduction in the intensity during the secretory phase (Fig. 1D). In contrast, SSEA-1 scores were very low in the tubal epithelium in all phases of the cycle. The co-expression of SSEA-1 protein and LGR5 mRNA by ISH was further confirmed with immunofluorescence staining (Supplementary Fig. S3).
dominant secretory endometrium when compared with the proliferative endometrium (Supplementary Tables SII and III), supporting a role for progestrone in the regulation of LGR5 expression. The LGR5 gene promoter has high-affinity binding sites for the progesterone receptor, suggesting a direct regulation. The sorted human endometrial epithelial side population cells (enriched for stem cells) showed differential expression of 48 TFs that potentially regulate LGR5 compared with the unsorted differentiated epithelial cells (Supplementary Table SIV). The analysis of the upstream
Figure 4 LGR5 expression did not correlate with epithelial cell proliferation in progesterone treated human endometrial samples. (A) Ki67-LI IHC data, in the progesterone only pill (POP) group, the glandular and luminal epithelium showed high Ki67-LI but the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) group endometrial Ki67-LI was very low. (B) Representative Ki67 images of luminal and glandular eutopic endometrium from patients treated with either POP or LNG-IUS (all images ×400, scale bar = 10 μm, n = 6 per group).

Discussion

This is the first comprehensive study employing the gold standard method: ISH, in order to examine the cellular location of LGR5 expression in full thickness normal human endometrium. High LGR5 expressing cells were seen in the endometrial luminal epithelium and in the stratum basalis. Healthy human endometrium shows a dynamic spatiotemporal pattern of LGR5 expression, suggesting hormonal regulation. Endogenous and exogenous progestogens appear to inhibit LGR5 expression in the endometrium both in vitro and in vivo, and these data and in silico analysis of published endometrial microarray datasets were in agreement.

Previous evidence from other epithelial tissues proposes LGR5 expression to be limited to stem cells and thus for LGR5 to be an epithelial stem cell marker (Kumar et al., 2014). We have shown that LGR5 was not localised to a small number of cells in the adult endometrial basalis epithelium; the proposed location of the stem cell niche (Valentijn et al., 2013; Gargett et al., 2016). The LGR5 expression we have seen in the human adult endometrium, unlike in the small intestine, mimics the Lgr5 expression pattern seen in mouse uteri (Sun et al., 2009). A uniform expression of Lgr5 was seen in the ovariectomised uterine epithelium and it is suggested that most of these remaining epithelial cells have the potential to proliferate when necessary for uterine glandular growth (Sun et al., 2009). A mouse endometrial epithelial organoid system, which allowed long-term expansion of epithelium, also showed Lgr5 gene expression (Boretto et al., 2017). In contrast, in humans, the whole of the endometrial functional layer is regularly shed with menstruation, a phenomenon not relevant to most mammals including rodents. The initial step of the embryo attachment and implantation occurs at the luminal epithelium, which exists at a relatively distant location in cellular terms from the stratum basalis (up to 16 mm in the mid-secretory phase). Due to external assaults such as mechanical friction or infection, cells are continually lost and replaced from the surface of any epithelial tissue including the skin and intestine (Barker, 2014); therefore a similar daily cellular loss is likely to happen at the endometrial luminal epithelium which is exposed to the uterine cavity and external environment. The daily maintenance of this luminal epithelium may require locally positioned cells with progenitor ability. Supporting this hypothesis, rapid Lgr5+ epithelial cell proliferation can be observed in many other organs upon tissue damage (Beumer and Clevers, 2016; Ng et al., 2014).

We therefore hypothesise that it is possible for the human endometrium to have more than one epithelial stem/progenitor cell pool; one residing in the basalis (SSEA-1++SOX9++LGR5+) supporting the massive regeneration of the functionalis after menstrual shedding or parturition; while the other (LGR5++SSEA-1+SOX9+) supports the embryo-implantation process, and maintains the luminal epithelial cells that are likely to be lost on a daily basis (Fig. 5). This is in agreement with the scanning electron microscopy studies of human endometrium, the endometrial injury model of the rabbit (Ferenczy and Richart, 1974) and neo-natal endometrial glandular development in humans (Cooke et al., 2013). The persistent expression of the progenitor cell markers SOX9 and SSEA-1 in the luminal epithelia, with concomitant high LGR5 expression, corroborate further with the above hypothesis (Barker and Clevers, 2010; Valentijn et al., 2013). Future work examining the functional properties of endometrial epithelial cell subpopulations that are isolated from the two anatomical regions within the human endometrium, which express either high or low LGR5, SOX9 and SSEA-1, is warranted.

The two antibody based studies examining LGR5 in the human endometrium (Gil-Sanchis et al., 2013; Cervello et al., 2017) are in contrast to our work, in that we do not detect LGR5 expression in the stroma but only in the pancytokeratin expressing epithelial cells and the observed proportion of epithelial cells expressing LGR5 exceeded 1%. It should be noted that mRNA and protein levels may not necessarily correlate, and in our hands, the endometrial LGR5 protein expression using two commercially available antibodies, demonstrated non-specific staining (Supplementary Fig. S5). Therefore, agreeing with the general consensus, we concluded that the reliability of antibodies against LGR5 remains in considerable doubt.

Suppression of glandular regeneration and progenitor activity is postulated to occur within the progesterone-dominant, non-proliferative,
endometrium. Considering the intricate relationship between steroid hormone receptors and their function, our experimental and in silico analysis data thus suggest that progesterone may directly and also indirectly regulate LGR5 via downstream target genes. Endometrial epithelial differentiation, proliferative quiescence and inhibition of the canonical Wnt pathway in the stratum functionalis layer are known functions of progesterone (Wang et al., 2009) and they were also identified as significant canonical pathways involving the differentially expressed (progesterone regulated), LGR5 regulators in the secretory phase endometrium. This suggests a possible functional involvement of LGR5 in the secretory endometrium that requires exploration in future studies.

In the absence of validated lineage markers for the various epithelial populations that are likely to exist within the endometrium, we cannot formally characterise the resident LGR5+ cells as multipotent. Lineage tracing studies need to be completed in the human endometrial epithelium to identify the location of stem cells, this will further complement the in vitro functional studies to confirm if LGR5 expressing epithelial cells indeed represent the epithelial stem cell population.
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