Desilicated ZSM-5 Zeolites for the Production of Renewable \( p \)-Xylene via Diels–Alder Cycloaddition of Dimethylfuran and Ethylene
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Abstract: The selective production of \( p \)-xylene and other aromatics starting from sugars and bioderived ethylene offers great promise and can eliminate the need for separation of xylene isomers, as well as decreasing dependency on fossil resources and CO\(_2\) emissions. Although the reaction is known, the microporosity of traditional commercial zeolites appears to be a limiting factor. In this work, we demonstrate for the first time that simply desilication of microporous commercial zeolites by a simple NaOH treatment can greatly enhance conversion and selectivity. The \([4 + 2]\) Diels–Alder cycloaddition of 2,5-dimethylfuran with ethylene in a pressurised reactor was investigated using a series of H-ZSM-5 catalysts with SiO\(_2\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) ratios 30 and 80 with increasing pore size induced by desilication. X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, \(^{27}\)Al magic-angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance, temperature programmed desorption of ammonia, and nitrogen physisorption measurements were used to characterise the catalysts. The enhancement of conversion was observed for all desilicated samples compared to the untreated zeolite, and increases in temperature and ethylene pressure significantly improved both dimethylfuran conversion and selectivity to \( p \)-xylene due to the easier desorption from the zeolite’s surface and the augmented cycloaddition rate, respectively. A compromise between acidity and mesoporosity was found to be the key to enhancing the activity and maximising the selectivity in the production of \( p \)-xylene from 2,5-dimethylfuran.
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1. Introduction

Due to diminishing quantities of fossil fuels, lignocellulosic biomass is attracting more attention as a feedstock for platform chemicals due to its large availability and economic factors [1]. One of these platform chemicals obtainable from biomass is \( p \)-xylene [2,3], a precursor in the terephthalic acid synthesis, which can be polymerised to produce polyethylene terephthalate (PET). \( p \)-Xylene is currently produced on an industrial scale from the catalytic reforming of petroleum naphtha, which is part of the benzene-toluene-xylene (BTX) fraction. Current market demand for \( p \)-xylene is typically around 34% of the BTX fraction [4].

Brion et al. [5] found that furan ring compounds could be converted into a six-membered ring via cycloaddition to produce an oxa-norbornene intermediate followed by \( \beta \) elimination (Scheme 1). Recent studies have shown that 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) could act as a potential feedstock for the production of \( p \)-xylene [6–10]. The advantage of this route is the production of the pure para isomer of xylene, thus removing the necessity of purifying \( p \)-xylene, as is the case in its production from
fossil sources. DMF itself can be produced from glucose in a two-step process. Glucose can be converted into 2,5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), which can then be hydrodeoxygenated to produce DMF (Scheme 1) [11].

The production of $p$-xylene was reported via the Diels–Alder cycloaddition of DMF and ethylene over different acid catalysts, such as zeolite FAU (faujasite) [6,12,13], γ-alumina, niobic acid, H-FAU (ZSM-5) [14], or zeolite BEA (beta) [9,15,16]. H-BEA was shown to be almost an order of magnitude more active than γ-alumina, niobic acid, or H-ZSM-5. It was recently discussed that both Brønsted and Lewis acids are very effective in catalysing Diels–Alder cycloaddition [3], and several teams demonstrated enhancement in catalytic activity of zeolites containing both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites [9,17,18]. Additionally, it was shown that polar aprotic solvent, such as 1,4-dioxane, improves both DMF conversion and $p$-xylene yield attributable to the increased dehydration rate. Several studies were dedicated to the detailed study of the reaction mechanism and regimes reflecting different rate-limiting steps and thermodynamics [6,7,12,13,16,19]. It was found that regimes of high and low acid concentration differ significantly and result in switching the rate-limiting step from the Diels–Alder cycloaddition to the dehydration of oxanorbornene, respectively.

It was reported that inducing mesoporosity in zeolites (producing hierarchical zeolites) can alleviate diffusion limitations and significantly increase catalytic activity in a variety of reactions [14,20]. It has been argued that the secondary porosity could also be used to store coke and therefore avoid blockage of the active microporous sites [21]. One of the ways to introduce mesoporosity in zeolites is the removal of framework Si atoms (desilication) [22]. Dean [23] first suggested the idea of alkaline treatment for zeolites to increase performance in the mordenite in the gas–oil reaction and noted that crystallinity was preserved with a three times higher conversion compared to the untreated parent zeolite. Groen et al. [22] found that desilication preserved crystallinity and Brønsted acidity of the parent zeolite.

In view of this, we decided to produce hierarchical zeolites for the Diels–Alder cycloaddition of ethylene to DMF with the hope that increasing pore size would facilitate mass transport phenomena and increase reaction rates. In this paper, we investigate the effect of increasing the pore size of ZSM-5 catalysts with differing SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios by alkaline treatment and how this affects the structural properties of the ZSM-5, as well as how beneficial this can be for the production of renewable aromatics $p$-xylene.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. X-ray Diffraction

XRD patterns of the untreated and alkaline-treated samples were obtained and are shown in Figures 1 and 2 for Z30 and Z80, respectively. All samples show diffractions of ZSM-5 based on JCPDS...
PDF 01-080-0922. The parent zeolite was compared to the alkaline-treated ones, and intensity of the (101) peak was used for the crystallinity calculation.
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**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of PZ30 (top, black), Z30.2 (middle, red), and Z30.2 (bottom, blue).

![XRD patterns](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of PZ80 (top, black), Z80.2 (middle, red), and Z80.2 (bottom, blue).

It was found that 0.2 M NaOH treatments of PZ30 and PZ80 did not significantly reduce the material crystallinity. Alkaline treatment of Z30 (up to 0.4 M NaOH) preserved crystallinity up to 87% of the original zeolite, as evidenced by the presence of diffraction lines (101), (020), and (501), which are typical of ZSM-5 diffraction patterns (Figure 1).

On the other hand, the alkaline treatment of PZ80 with 0.4 M NaOH significantly reduced the zeolite crystallinity down to 31% of the original value (Figure 2). These results are in agreement with the hypothesis of Verboekend et al. [24], who claimed that desilication is more difficult at lower SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios due to the repulsion by the negative charge on the framework aluminium ions and would be easier with SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios >50 due to uncontrolled desilication.

2.2. Surface Area and Porosity of the Materials

$N_2$ isotherms of PZ30 and PZ80 display typical type I isotherm with a plateau at a higher relative pressure according to IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry) classification (Figures 3 and 4).
Upon the treatment with 0.2 M and 0.4 M NaOH, the mesopore surface area of Z30 increased from 142 to 181 and 216 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ compared to the parent zeolite, while the micropore volume was preserved.

Figure 3. $N_2$ isotherms of PZ30 (black square, full line), Z30.2 (red circle, dashed line), and Z30.4 (blue triangle, dotted line) at 77 K.

In the case of Z80, $N_2$ adsorption measurements showed that both the surface area and microporosity decreased after the NaOH treatment. The BET surface area decreased from 376 to 312 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and the volume of micropores from 0.165 to 0.122 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for Z80.4 when compared to the untreated PZ80. The alkaline treatment also had a profound effect on the mesopore surface area, which increased from 131 (PZ80) to 260 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Z80.4). These porosity changes in both Z30 and Z80 further corroborate the difference in difficult desilication at lower SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios and uncontrolled desilication at SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ 80 [24].

Figure 4. $N_2$ isotherms of PZ80 (black square, full line), Z80.2 (red circle, dashed line), and Z80.4 (blue triangle, dotted line) at 77 K.

In addition to this, both PZ30 and PZ80 exhibit a small hysteresis, which is indicative of limited mesoporosity. The shapes of the isotherms confirm that the structure of PZ30 and PZ80 is dominated by microporosity. $N_2$ isotherms of all alkaline-treated Z30 and Z80 show a combination of type I and type IV isotherms with an increased uptake of $N_2$ and pronounced hysteresis, which is indicative of increased mesoporosity. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) measurements showed that alkaline treatment had minimal effect on the surface area of Z30 (Table 1).
Table 1. Physical properties of untreated and treated H-ZSM-5 Z30 and Z80 catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>$S_{\text{BET}}$ (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{total}}$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{micro}}$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{meso}}$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Crystallinity (%)</th>
<th>$\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ Ratio</th>
<th>Total Acid Sites, µmol g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Weak Acid Sites, µmol g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Strong Acid Sites, µmol g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Brønsted Acid Sites, µmol g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Lewis Acid Sites, µmol g$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PZ30</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z30.2</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z30.4</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZ80</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z80.2</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z80.4</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method; $^b$ $P/P_0 = 0.99$; $^c$ NLDFT (non-local density functional theory); $^d$ measured by XRD; $^e$ ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy); $^f$ NH$_3$-TPD; $^g$ B/L (Brønsted/ Lewis) acid site ratio from pyridine FTIR and to total amount from TPD (temperature programmed desorption).
Upon the treatment with 0.2 M and 0.4 M NaOH, the mesopore surface area of Z30 increased from 142 to 181 and 216 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ compared to the parent zeolite, while the micropore volume was preserved. In the case of Z80, N$_2$ adsorption measurements showed that both the surface area and microporosity decreased after the NaOH treatment. The BET surface area decreased from 376 to 312 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and the volume of micropores from 0.165 to 0.122 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for Z80.4 compared to the untreated PZ80. The alkaline treatment also had a profound effect on the mesopore surface area, which increased from 131 (PZ80) to 260 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Z80.4). These porosity changes in both Z30 and Z80 further corroborate the difference in difficult desilication at lower SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios and uncontrolled desilication at SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ 80 [24].

2.3. Acidity Measurements

There were two desorption peaks in ammonia TPD (temperature programmed desorption) profiles (Figures S1 and S2). The first desorption peak at $\sim$225 $^\circ$C corresponds to the weak (Lewis) acid sites, whereas the second desorption peak at $\sim$385 $^\circ$C corresponds to the strong (both Brønsted and Lewis) acid sites [25,26]. It was reported that the concentration of the weakly bound ammonia observed at the low temperature peak has no catalytic importance, and it was demonstrated that it can be decreased by extending the flushing time with the inert gas [27].

The total acidity values of both the parent PZ30 and PZ80 are in agreement with the data present in the literature [27,28]. Both Z30 and Z80 desilicated samples showed increases in the total acidity, which is in agreement with the decrease in the SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio after the alkaline treatment; although, these results contradict the findings of Rac et al. [28], who found a decrease in the total acidity of all desilicated samples when compared to their corresponding parent. This could be due to the fact that during the desilication, the intensities of both the low and high temperature peaks increase. Also, Rac and co-workers used calorimetry compared to the TPD used in this study.

In the case of the parent PZ30 with a low SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio, the rigid zeolite structure and close proximity of the framework Si and Al (Lowenstein’s rule) prevents the facile desilication to some extent, and the change in acidity profile is the least significant. This is also documented by the decrease in the SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio from 28 (PZ30) to 24 (Z30.2) and 21 (Z30.4) and the low decrease in crystallinity (<15%). As shown in Table 1, the total acidity for the Z30.2 and Z30.4 increased from 581 to 655 and 692 µmol g$^{-1}$, respectively. The most pronounced change in the acidity profile was found to come from the weak acid sites, which could be due to the increased mesoporosity, as it was suggested by Rodriguez-Gonzalez et al. [27] that weakly bound NH$_3$ can physisorb onto itself within the pores. The number of strong acid sites was not affected significantly and increased slightly for treated samples by max. 8% of the parent value, which is in good agreement with literature [25].

2.4. Microscopy

SEM measurements showed PZ30 and PZ80 displayed well-defined morphologies of compact particle sizes ca. 250 nm. The alkaline treatment with sodium hydroxide had contrasting effects on the morphologies of PZ30 and PZ80 (Figure 5). The external surfaces remained largely intact upon mild treatment with sodium hydroxide. This suggests that silicon extraction did not largely take place on the external surface of the zeolite crystals. Similar conclusions were reported by Sadowska et al. [29]. Alkaline treatment had minimal effect on the morphology of PZ30, whereas for PZ80, there was a clear change in the morphology of the crystal structure after the alkaline treatment, as can be seen from the formation of a less compact structure (more images in Supplementary Information Figures S3 and S4). In detail, the transition from PZ30 to Z30.2 did not show significant changes in the shape of the crystallites, nor separation of the smaller crystals. In the case of Z80, the division of large crystallites into smaller bulks is clearly visible.
with its easier desilication and more pronounced decrease in SiO\textsubscript{2}/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} framework (T is either Al or Si) [31]. This effect was more pronounced in the case of Z80, which agreed that in both cases of Z30 and Z80, the isotropic shift of the 54 ppm resonance is widened as the concentration of the desilication agent is increased, which indicates the change of T-O-T angles in the framework. Alkaline treatment had minimal effect on the morphology of PZ30, whereas for PZ80, there was a clear change in the morphology of the crystal structure after the alkaline treatment, as can be seen on the external surface of the zeolite crystals. Similar conclusions were reported by Sadowaska et al. [27].

As expected, the majority of Al occupies tetrahedral framework positions (Table 2). It can be observed at the low temperature peak has no catalytic importance, and it was demonstrated that it is in agreement with the decrease in the SiO\textsubscript{2}/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ratio after the alkaline treatment; although, the proximity of the framework Si and Al (Lowenstein’s rule) prevents the facile desilication to some extent, and the change in acidity profile is the least significant. This is also documented by the small increase in the total acidity values of both the parent PZ30 and PZ80 are in agreement with the data present in Table 1.

2.3. Acidity Measurements

There were two desorption peaks in ammonia TPD (temperature programmed desorption) profiles (Figures S1 and S2). The first desorption peak at ~225 °C corresponds to the weak (Lewis) acid sites, whereas the second desorption peak at ~385 °C corresponds to the strong (both Brønsted and Lewis) acid sites [25,26]. It was reported that the concentration of the weakly bound ammonia decreases in the acidity profile.

As expected, the majority of Al occupies tetrahedral framework positions (Table 2). It can be seen that in both cases of Z30 and Z80, the isotropic shift of the 54 ppm resonance is widened as the concentration of the desilication agent is increased, which indicates the change of T-O-T angles in the framework (T is either Al or Si) [31]. This effect was more pronounced in the case of Z80, which agreed with its easier desilication and more pronounced decrease in SiO\textsubscript{2}/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ratio and crystallinity.
Figure 6. $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of presented zeolites with SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratios 30 (Z30) (A) and 80 (Z80) (B) before (PZ30, PZ80) and after the desilication treatment (Z30.2, Z30.4, Z80.2, Z80.4).

Table 2. Normalised areas and ratios of peaks at 54 and 0 ppm corresponding to framework and extra-framework aluminium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>Ratio of Peaks at 54 to 0 ppm</th>
<th>Normalised Areas at Peak Positions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZ30</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z30.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z30.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZ80</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z80.2</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z80.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A similar effect to that of framework aluminium was observed in the case of extra-framework Al in octahedral coordination. As the concentration of NaOH during the desilication increased, so did the amount of extra-framework aluminium. Moreover, the widening of the peak at ca. 0 ppm indicates a broader distribution of the local extra-framework Al environment, which was again more pronounced in the case of Z80.
Worth noting is the possible presence of penta-coordinated extra-framework aluminium, which could be suggested by the presence of the shoulder at 45–35 ppm. In our case, the relative amount of this type of Al does not seem to change during the treatment of Z30 but slowly increases along with the increment of Al$_{Oh}$.

2.6. Catalytic Activity

2.6.1. General Considerations and Conversion

As shown in Scheme 1, p-xylene is formed by the [4 + 2] cycloaddition of DMF with ethylene to form 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, which is dehydrated to form p-xylene. DMF can be also hydrolysed to form 2,5-hexanedione (HDO), which was shown to be able to dehydrate back to DMF and, according to this mechanism, could still be converted to p-xylene [12]. Trace amounts of 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone (MCP) were found due to the intramolecular aldol reaction of 2,5-hexanedione. 1-ethyl-2,5-dimethylbenzene, which was found to be a product in the literature [6], was not found in our study. The reaction in which no catalyst was used yielded less than 3% conversion of DMF with no selectivity to any of the identified products. A typical time-dependent profile of the conversion of DMF and selectivities to the identified products is presented in Figure 7.

As conversion of 2,5-dimethylfuran increases, p-xylene is produced, and its selectivity increase follows conversion until the last point, when it decreases slightly in favour of alkylated products. The selectivities to alkylated products, consisting of DMF and aromatics and higher aromatics with multiple aromatic rings, show an initial increase to ca. 10–15% after which they keep slightly decreasing until the end of the reaction. As mentioned before, hexanedione is produced but is again consumed, which suggests conversion back to DMF to produce p-xylene.

Conversion for both Z30 and Z80 treated by 0.2 M NaOH increased from 19.3% and 21.3% to 36% and 37%, respectively (Figures 8 and 9, Table S1).
This would suggest that increased porosity promotes the transformation of DMF to reaction products. However, the additional desilication and increase in the porosity for both Z30 and Z80 does not promote further the conversion when compared to the 0.2 M NaOH-treated samples. Nonetheless, the activity of the Z30.4 and Z80.4 increased to 27.1% and 36.1% when compared to the PZ30 and PZ80, respectively. As the effect of the alkaline treatment was more pronounced in the case of Z80, this...
material was selected for the catalytic tests at high temperature. It can be seen that when the reaction temperature is increased to 250 °C, the DMF conversion for Z80.2 is significantly enhanced from 16% to 51% when compared to PZ80 (Figure 10) under the same conditions.

Figure 10. Conversion (X, symbol + line) and selectivity (S, columns) values for untreated PZ80 and treated Z80.4. Conversion (triangle), S(p-xylene) (black), S(2,5-hexanedione) (HDO, grey), S(3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone) (MCP, white), S(alkylated products) (AP, square pattern) and S(higher aromatics) (HA, diagonal pattern). Reaction conditions: 250 °C, 55 bar total pressure (pressurised at 250 °C), 5.5 mL DMF, 0.45 mL tridecane (internal standard), 16.5 mL hexane, 110 mg catalyst, 20 h. The carbon mass balance was in all cases >95%.

2.6.2. Products Selectivity

Chang and co-workers described the evolution of products selectivities as a function of time over the H-BEA catalyst and found that the selectivity to p-xylene increases in an almost linear fashion with the increasing time/conversion and so does the selectivity to 2,5-hexanedione. The selectivity to hexanedi one, however, starts to decrease as a result of hexanedi one being converted back to DMF when certain DMF conversion is reached (60%) [9]. As it can be seen from Figure 7, hexanedi one selectivity starts to decline in our case at 40–50% DMF conversion to get dehydrated back to DMF to be converted to p-xylene. It can be seen from Figures 8 and 9 that at 180 °C the S(p-xylene) increased with increasing conversion only for PZ30 (43.2%) to Z30.2 (44.1%), while it decreased in all other cases of both Z30 and Z80.

It was suggested that this decrease in selectivity to p-xylene could be caused by the retention of formed p-xylene on acid sites, which would react further to alkylated products (AP) and higher aromatics (HA), which further react to form unrecoverable polymerised products (coke) [32]. However, quantum mechanics calculations showed both hexanedi one and oxanorbornene intermediate adsorb stronger (ca. 25.8 kcal/mol) to the acid site as compared with DMF (17.9 kcal/mol) and p-xylene (16.6 kcal/mol) [12]. Oxanorbornene can be dehydrated by Brønsted acid sites, as it has been demonstrated by several authors [7,33]; however, Lewis acid sites can be active for the dehydration step as well [13,15,34]. As the adsorbed 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene intermediate can be easily transformed into p-xylene, DMF and hexanedi one were indicated as the most probable causes for the formation of oligomeric byproducts.

2.6.3. Increasing the Reaction Temperature and Catalyst Reusability

Z80 was chosen as a representative for testing at higher temperatures (Figure 10). Even after the significant conversion increases for Z80.2 (51%) vs PZ80 (16%), S(p-xylene) is still higher than
at lower conversion (PZ80) in this case, which further corroborates the hypothesis that the higher reaction temperature is beneficial for the prevention of the unwanted oxanorbornene intermediate reactivity to alkylation and condensation byproducts. Furthermore, the behaviour of Z80.2 at the same temperature of 250 °C but at lower pressure ethylene was tested (Table S1, entries 8, 9). It shows that both the conversion of DMF and S(p-xylene) drop almost to the reactivity of the parent PZ80 when lower ethylene pressure is used. This supports the hypothesis that high pressure of ethylene is needed to increase the probability of the cycloaddition step as it was also suggested by Nikbin and co-workers [7].

We also carried out a catalyst reusability test with Z80.2 to investigate how the catalyst performs in repeated tests (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Catalyst Z80.2 reusability results in the Diels–Alder cycloaddition of 2,5-dimethylfuran and ethylene. Reaction conditions: 220 °C, 55 bar total pressure, 1100 rpm, 2 h reaction time, DMF (12 mL), tridecane (0.98 mL), dodecane (25 mL), 180 mg of Z80.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Description</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>S(p-xylene)</th>
<th>S(HDO)</th>
<th>S(MCP)</th>
<th>S(AP)</th>
<th>S(HA)</th>
<th>Carbon Mass Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse 1 *</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse 2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse 3</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Catalyst only washed and dried catalyst before the test, catalyst for further reuses (2, 3) was washed, dried, and calcined at 550 °C for 6 h. X, conversion; HDO, hexanedione; MCP, 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone; AP, alkylation products; and HA, higher aromatics.

It can be seen that the catalyst is reusable without the loss of activity in three subsequent reuses. Also, it should be noted that before the first reuse, the catalyst (pale brown colour) was only washed and dried and still performed similarly to the fresh catalyst (white colour) and last two reuses (white catalyst colour).

2.6.4. Mesoporosity vs Acidity

Both Brønsted [14,35] and Lewis [13,16,34,36] acid sites were shown to be suitable for tandem Diels–Alder cycloaddition of furanic compounds and ethylene [3,18,37]. According to calculations, Brønsted acidity was proven to be more effective than Lewis in the dehydration of the oxanorbornene intermediate [18]. We found that the conversion of DMF per Lewis acid site linearly increased with the increase in the mesopore surface area (Figure 11).

This could mean that as more mesopores are created, the availability of Lewis acid sites increases. We could not find any correlation with Brønsted acid sites, which can be explained either by the Diels–Alder cycloaddition step being rate-determining [18] or also because of the possible synergy between the Brønsted and Lewis sites as it was documented previously [38,39]. In our catalysts, we observed Lewis and Brønsted acid sites and—as the trend of Brønsted acidity was not apparent—the effect of Brønsted acidity was inconclusive. It was suggested in the literature a long time ago that Lewis acids significantly enhance the rate of the Diels–Alder cycloaddition [5,40,41]. However, this depends on the reaction conditions, such as temperature and pressure, as well as concentration of acid sites (high/low), which was shown to affect the regime kinetics [12,13]. As in our case we have dual acidity (Brønsted and Lewis), the most plausible explanation is the enhancement of reactivity by desilication by creating extra-framework Lewis sites (as documented by NMR) with better accessibility, whereas the role of the Brønsted acid sites deserves further investigation.
PZ30 and PZ80 showed similar conversion of DMF of 19.3% and 21.3% and also similar mesopore surface area of 142 and 131 m² g⁻¹, respectively. Still, PZ30 displayed 51% higher selectivity towards p-xylene. We believe that this is due to the fact that PZ30 possesses more strong acid sites than PZ80 (416 vs 278 µmol g⁻¹, respectively). This acidity is required for the dehydration of the cycloadduct intermediate to p-xylene (Scheme 1). It is also true that there are more Brønsted/Lewis acid sites in PZ30 (295/286 µmol g⁻¹) vs PZ80 (166/167 µmol g⁻¹), which is expected based on the Si/Al ratio. NH₃-TPD measurements confirm that PZ30 and Z30.4 have almost identical amounts of strong acid sites of 416 and 426 µmol g⁻¹, respectively. However, Z30.4 exhibits a 40% increase in conversion compared to its parent PZ30. We propose that this is a result of the increased mesopore area in Z30.4, which was found to be 52% higher when compared to PZ30 (Table 1). This is also supported in the case of alkaline-treated Z80. PZ80 and Z80.4 showed similar amounts of strong acid sites of 278 and 244 µmol g⁻¹, respectively. At the same time, Z80.4 displayed 66% conversion enhancement in comparison with PZ80. Similarly, Z80.4 possessed double the mesopore surface area of PZ80 (Table 1).

The increase in conversion for both treated Z30 and Z80 is likely due to the increase in mesoporosity, which could involve both a) higher tolerance to coke formation than in microporous samples thereby extending catalyst lifetime and b) improved reactants diffusion. Coke tolerance of Z80.2 is supported by the reusability test, where the catalyst with coke deposited (pale brown colour) performed the same as the fresh catalyst (white colour) (Table 3).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Commercial ZSM-5 (MFI) zeolites were obtained in the ammonium form (CBV 3024E, SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 30 and CBV 8014, SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 80) from Zeolyst International Inc. (Conshohocken, PA, USA). 2,5-dimethylfuran (99%), ammonium nitrate, and tridecane were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium hydroxide pellets and hexane were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH, USA), and apart from DMF, were used without further purification. DMF was purified by vacuum distillation.
3.2. Catalyst Preparation

**Conventional ZSM-5.** The ammonium form of ZSM-5 was treated at 550 °C in static air at a heating ramp of 1 °C min⁻¹ for 6 h to produce the proton form of the same material. This was repeated for subsequent calcinations. The parent samples are noted as P (e.g., PZ30).

**Alkaline treated ZSM-5.** The alkaline-treated ZSM-5 samples are noted according to the amount of NaOH used (e.g., Z30.2 and Z30.4 for 0.2 M NaOH and 0.4 M NaOH treatment of Z30, respectively). The desilication procedure was performed by treating the ammonium form of the zeolite with aqueous sodium hydroxide (30 mL g⁻¹). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at 65 °C and then quenched in an ice bath to prevent further desilication. The slurry was filtered using Whatman grade 3 filter paper. The sample was washed and then dried overnight. The desilicated catalyst was converted to the ammonium form by treating the sample with 1 M ammonium nitrate for 120 min at 80 °C (20 mL g⁻¹). The suspension was cooled, then filtered and dried overnight. Finally, the zeolite was converted from the ammonium into the proton form by calcination as described above.

3.3. Catalytic Activity

DMF was distilled beforehand to remove heavy contaminants, leaving behind a brown residue. The reaction of 2,5-DMF and ethylene was carried out in a 45 mL closed Parr model 4717 pressure reactor. DMF (5.5 mL), tridecane (0.45 mL), hexane (16.5 mL), and 110 mg of catalyst were charged into the vessel. The vessel was stirred using a cross-shaped magnetic stir bar at 1100 rpm, purged with nitrogen, and heated to 180 °C using an aluminium block and a hotplate. As soon as the vessel reached the required temperature, the vessel was pressurised to a total pressure of 40 bar with ethylene for 20 h. At the end of the reaction, the vessel was quenched in an ice bath. The liquid phase was separated from the spent catalyst by centrifugation. Product identification was carried out using gas chromatography (Agilent series 7820A, HP-5 capillary column, 30 m, 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using flame ionisation detector. The conversion and selectivities were calculated based on the below equations:

\[
\text{Conversion}_{\text{DMF}} (\%) = \frac{[\text{DMF}]_{\text{initial}} - [\text{DMF}]_{\text{end}}}{[\text{DMF}]_{\text{initial}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Selectivity}_{\text{product}} (\%) = \frac{[\text{product}]}{\text{sum of } [\text{products}]} \times 100
\]

Carbon mass balance was a sum of concentrations of all identified products and unreacted DMF. Reusability tests were performed with Z80.2 at the following reaction conditions. DMF (12 mL), tridecane (0.98 mL), dodecane (25 mL), and 180 mg of Z80.2 were added to a 75 mL stainless-steel vessel and purged with nitrogen. The vessel was heated to 220 °C and pressurised to a total pressure of 55 bar with ethylene. The reaction was carried out and stirred at 1100 rpm for 2 h. After the reaction was allowed to cool, the spent catalyst was filtered and washed with ethanol and dried in an oven overnight at 110 °C. The spent catalyst was then calcined as described in Section 3.2. The first reuse test was carried out with only washed and dried catalyst (turned pale brown), whereas the subsequent two reuses were done with catalyst regenerated by calcination.

3.4. Characterisation

X-ray diffraction was carried out using a Panalytical X’Pert PRO HTS X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands) using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm). Data were recorded in the 2θ range of 5–50°. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out using Hitachi S-4800 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). For ICP-OES measurements, approximately 1 mg of zeolite was sonicated in 1 mL of water until a suspension was obtained. The amount of Si and Al in the suspension was measured and used to calculate the SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratios. Each value of Si and Al was measured three times and averaged. Nitrogen sorption isotherms
were measured using Micromeritics ASAP 2020 (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, Norcross, Georgia, USA) at 77 K. The surface area was calculated using the BET equation with the P/P₀ range 0.05–0.30. Total pore volume was taken as a volume at P/P₀ = 0.99. The micropore volume was calculated using the NLDFT method up to 2 nm pore size. Mesopore surface area was calculated as the difference between the BET surface area and the micropore volume. Temperature desorption of ammonia (NH₃-TPD) was carried out using Micromeritics Autochem II 2920 (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, Norcross, GA, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. The sample (50 mg) was pre-treated in air at 550 °C and held for 60 min. Then the gas flow was changed from air to helium and flowed at a rate of 20 cm³ min⁻¹ for 20 min. The sample was then cooled to 150 °C. Then, 5% NH₃/He mixture was flowed at a rate of 20 cm³ min⁻¹ for 30 min. The gas was changed to helium and flowed at a rate of 20 cm³ min⁻¹ for 30 min. For TPD, the sample was heated to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectra of catalysts were taken on a Nicolet NEXUS FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using powdered catalyst mixtures with KBr. H-ZSM-5 was mixed with KBr in a 4:1 weight ratio. The powder was pre-treated at 150 °C/0.01 kPa for 60 min under vacuum. The sample was dried under a flow of nitrogen. Pyridine was dropped onto the sample. The samples were exposed to pyridine for 60 min and then degassed at 150 °C to remove physisorbed pyridine. The Brønsted (B) and Lewis (L) sites were determined using peak intensities at 1540 cm⁻¹ and 1450 cm⁻¹, respectively. \(^{27}\)Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian VNMRS spectrometer at 104.2 MHz at room temperature in 4 mm rotor with a spinning rate of 14,000 Hz with “onepul” pulse sequence, direct excitation, 1 µs pulse duration, 10 ms acquisition time, 200 ms recycle time, collected 7000 transients, spectral width 416.7 kHz.

4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that alkaline treatment of H-ZSM-5 is beneficial for the [4 + 2] Diels–Alder cycloaddition of DMF and ethylene when compared to the untreated parent. The alkaline treatment results in the preferential silicon dissolution, which leads to enhanced mesoporosity and also increased conversion of DMF. Furthermore, we showed that by tuning the alkaline treatment one can produce mesoporosity while preserving the crystallinity of the zeolite. This modification of H-ZSM-5 could be applied to other zeolites for subsequent dehydration reactions involving larger aromatic molecules.

The correlation between the increase of the surface area of the mesopores and activity per Lewis acid site was found, which was caused by the better availability of the extra-framework Lewis acid sites in the desilicated zeolite. However, although no correlation was found for Brønsted acidity, its participation cannot be neglected and deserves further investigation.

Also, elevated temperatures are beneficial to prevent further polymerisation reactions, and increased ethylene pressure can significantly enhance the rate of the cycloaddition reaction step.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/8/6/253/s1, Figure S1: NH₃-TPD profile (left) and column view of number of acid sites (right) of untreated and treated Z30. Adsorption of NH₃ was performed for 30 min at 150 °C followed by inert flush and TPD up to 700 °C. Columns legend: total acidity (black), weak acid sites (pattern), and strong acid sites (grey), Figure S2: NH₃-TPD profile (left) and column view of number of acid sites (right) of untreated and treated Z80. Adsorption of NH₃ was performed for 30 min at 150 °C followed by inert flush and TPD up to 700 °C. Columns legend: total acidity (black), weak acid sites (pattern), and strong acid sites (grey), Figure S3: SEM micrographs of PZ30 (A–C) and Z30 (D–F), Figure S4 SEM micrographs of PZ80 (A–C) and Z80.2 (D–F), Table S1: Results of Diels–Alder catalytic testing of DMF and ethylene over untreated and treated H-ZSM-5. Reaction conditions: 5.5 mL DMF, 0.45 mL 2,5-hexanedione, MCP is 3-methyl-2-cyclopentenone, AP are alkylated products, HA are higher aromatics. The rest is selectivity to unknown products. The carbon mass balance was in all cases >94%.
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