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Abstract: The falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli are two projections of the dura mater in the cranial cavity which ossify to varying degrees in some mammalian species. The idea that the ossification of these structures may be necessary to support the loads arising during feeding has been proposed and dismissed in the past, but never tested quantitatively. To address this, a biomechanical model of a domestic cat (*Felis silvestris catus*) skull was created and the material properties of the falx and tentorium were varied for a series of loading regimes incorporating the main masticatory and neck muscles during biting. Under these loading conditions, ossification of the falx cerebri does not have a significant impact on the stress in the cranial bones. In the case of the tentorium, however, a localised increase in stress was observed in the parietal and temporal bones, including the tympanic bulla, when a non-ossified tentorium was modelled. These effects were consistent across the different analyses, irrespective of loading regime. The results suggest that ossification of the tentorium cerebelli may play a minor role during feeding activities by decreasing the stress in the back of the skull.
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The dura mater is a fibrous membrane that covers the brain and spinal cord. It further extends into the cranial cavity in the shape of four folds or projections, two of which are the falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli. The falx cerebri divides the two cerebral hemispheres, while the tentorium separates the cerebral lobes from the underlying cerebellum (figure 1). Both the falx and tentorium are commonly found across a variety of mammal species, albeit not necessarily with the same degree of development [1]. Moreover, some species exhibit an ossified falx or an ossified tentorium; occasionally both. Ossification can also be a prenatal or a postnatal process, and these differences in developmental patterns led Nojima [2] to discriminate between the prenatal carnivore type (e.g. Marsupialia, Sirenia, Carnivora) and the postnatal dolphin type (some Cetacea and Primates). The degree of tentorial ossification also varies across species. In carnivorans, the level of ossification ranges from none in the striped skunk (Mephitis mephitis), to partial (Canidae), or complete, as in members of the Felidae [3].

The functional role of the ossification of these structures remains unclear. Nojima [3] dismissed the idea that an ossified tentorium aids in the protection of the carnivoran brain during locomotion and feeding. This argument is largely based on evidence that other animal groups which perform similar activities, such as most herbivores and rodents, do not exhibit ossification. However, this is founded on casual observation, and to date no specific analysis has been performed to support or reject it. In this study, we examine quantitatively the biomechanical role that the falx and the tentorium play in the mammalian skull, and any particular effects for Carnivora that the ossification may offer under different biting regimes. In order to achieve this, we developed a detailed finite element (FE) model of a domestic cat (Felis silvestris catus) skull which included the falx and tentorium.

*Felis silvestris* is a polytypic species that includes various different subspecies which can produce viable offspring when crossed, *Felis silvestris catus*, the domestic cat, being one of them [4]. The use of this particular species has two main advantages: it is widely available for study and, being a felid, it has a fully ossified tentorium, in contrast to other carnivorans. Over the last 20 million years, felids have maintained a similar body plan [5], a factor that has made this group especially popular for allometric studies [6]. Following this general trend, the ossified tentorium of the domestic cat’s
skull is also very similar to those of other felids. Moreover, it has been observed that in newborn cats the tentorium is in an almost complete stage of ossification [3].

Materials and methods

The head of an adult *Felis silvestris catus* specimen, obtained from a deceased animal donated to the Liverpool Institute of Veterinary Science for teaching and research, was scanned in an X-Tek HMX 160 microCT (µ-CT) system at the University of Hull, UK (scan resolution 61.7 µm in all three axes). The sex of the specimen is unknown, as the body was not used in this study. The stack of .TIFF images obtained from µ-CT scanning was then imported into Avizo (Version 9.0.1, Visualization Science Group) where segmentation of the different structures was achieved using a semi-automatic method, combining algorithms with further manual refinements. The skull was intact, apart from the cusp of the left canine tooth which was reconstructed digitally, while the first left premolar was also absent (no action was taken in this case, as it did not play any relevant role in the analyses). The mandible was also segmented in order to reconstruct jaw-closing muscle orientations. The nasal turbinates were represented independently and identified as a different structure, as was the nasal septum. Where possible, the delicate structures that compose the cribiform plate and the ethmoturbinates were maintained. The trabecular bone was visible in the CT scans and individual trabeculae were segmented. Voids in the trabecular bone and the empty spaces between the nasal turbinates were filled with a general filling material to simulate the presence of generic soft tissues, which also prevented errors during the FE solution arising from disconnected fragments of trabeculae. The cranial cavity was also filled with another material to reconstruct the gross volume of the brain and to allow modelling of the dura mater covering its surface at a later stage. However, as no other intermediate layers were modelled, this endocast should be regarded as a simplification of the brain, since it was connected directly to the bone in the model, and therefore the endocast surface strains are likely to be oversensitive to changes in bone strain. This prevents a more detailed analysis of the effects that the ossified structures might pose on this particular structure. The periodontal ligament (PDL) was included by covering the tooth roots and their proximal surfaces with a 3-4 voxel-wide layer of tissue (0.19-0.27 mm [7]). Although the ossified tentorium forms a continuum with the parietal bone, it was carefully segmented as an independent structure (from where it attaches to the
internal parietal wall) in order to allow testing with different material properties during the analyses.

The falx cerebri was partially visible in the CT scans, being partly ossified in its posterior region, allowing it to be reconstructed. Although the ossification was unexpected in this species, it may not be such a rare occurrence, since a second dissected specimen (also donated to the Liverpool Veterinary School for teaching and research) exhibited what seemed to be similar patches of ossification (figure 2) (although we did not carry out further analyses to confirm their precise composition).

After segmentation, a finite element mesh was created, resulting in a model with nearly 5.9 million high order (quadratic) tetrahedral elements. Avizo landmark tools were used to define the origin and insertion areas of the muscles, with the data required for this step gathered during the dissection of two specimen heads. The left side of the modelled specimen was dissected together with the second head. Dissection data was also supported with information gathered from Hartstone-Rose et al. [8], Laison et al. [9], and Turnbull [10]. The mass of each individual muscle was measured to allow calculation of its physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA). The relative sizes of the muscles of the two specimens and those values reported in the literature were consistent, although interestingly the whole muscle mass of the second specimen was 2.5 times greater, thus potentially 36% larger in each direction. (Note the pterygoid group was damaged in the second specimen, hence its weight was approximated by multiplying the value of the scanned specimen by the scaling factor of 2.5 (see Supporting Information, Table 1).

The muscles were placed in a 10% formaldehyde solution and stored in a fridge for one month, at which time the muscles were digested in a 30% nitric acid solution for 72 hours in order to separate the individual muscle fibres. The acid was then substituted with a 50% aqueous glycerol solution to stop the digestion process. Ten to fifteen random fibres for each muscle were isolated, photographed and subsequently measured with the software ImageJ [11] to estimate mean fibre length. The PCSA was calculated using the following formula [12]:

\[
PCSA = \frac{\text{muscle mass (g)}}{\text{density (g/cm}^3\text{)} \times \text{fibre length (cm)}}
\]
The muscle density was estimated as 1.0564 g/cm$^3$, a value taken from Murphy and Beardsley [12] for the cat soleus, which has also been used in studies of cat neck muscles [13] and felid masticatory analysis [8]. Different values have been reported for the intrinsic muscle tension (strength) produced by mammalian skeletal muscle, which typically ranges from 10 N/cm$^2$ to 50 N/cm$^2$. An intermediate value of 30 N/cm$^2$ was chosen from a feline bite force estimation study by Hartstone-Rose et al. [8]. Muscle force was calculated for the scanned specimen using the following formula:

$$\text{Muscle force} = \text{PCSA (cm}^2\text{)} \times \text{tension per unit CSA (N/cm}^2\text{)}$$

Detailed PCSA values and muscle forces for the specimens are available as part of the Supporting Information (Tables 2 and 3).

For the muscle insertion positions the mandible was positioned at a gape angle of approximately 0 degrees, i.e. complete occlusion. Because the specimen’s head was not completely symmetric, landmarks were manually placed on both sides of the skulls, left and right side (instead of mirroring them) in order to maximise accuracy. A variable number of landmarks, between two and sixteen, were used for each muscle depending on its size. After calculating the x, y, z components of each force, a bespoke routine coded in R (Version 3.3.3 [14]) was employed to format the spatial information into ANSYS commands (Mechanical APDL, 14.5.7, ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA).

The dura mater was simulated in ANSYS by selecting all the surface elements of the brain endocast material and creating a covering layer of thin shell elements (ANSYS SHELL181). Shell elements are a simple but effective way to model very thin structures such as the dura, and the ability to modify section data was also useful for assigning different thicknesses to the structure during sensitivity tests. Although the dura actually extends over the brain and around the spinal cord, only the part that enclosed the brain was considered in this model, hence the dura was discontinued after reaching the infratentorial region.

Muscle wrapping was considered necessary for the superficial temporalis, given the origin area of the muscle and the curvature of the parietal and the temporal bones in the cat cranium. For this, we created a semi-automatic procedure in ANSYS to handle a muscle lying over a curvilinear surface. The process involves the creation of a series of paths, each consisting of a line of short “hairs”, using truss-type elements (ANSYS LINK180) positioned perpendicular to the bone surface (supporting information, figure...
1). Landmarks for each individual hair were defined manually in Avizo and later imported into ANSYS. The node at the outer end of each hair was then connected to its neighbours with further link elements, thereby creating muscle strands wrapping around the cranium. The total force specified for the superficial temporalis muscle was then divided by the number of strands, and the resulting force was applied to the most inferior node of each strand.

The action of the neck muscles was also included in the model in order to simulate pull back and lateral pull. Neck muscle data were not available for the specimens considered in this study, hence the information was extracted from Reighard and Jennings [15], Wickland et al. [13] and Sebastiani and Fishbeck [16] (see Supporting Information, Table 4). The rectus capitis group, which is composed of three individual muscles (major, medius and minor) was considered as a single unit for the analyses, while the obliquus capitis caudalis, with its origin on the atlas vertebra (C1), was not modelled. The number of landmarks per muscle, used to define the number of strands, was based on the size of the neck origin areas. As the original vertebrae and scapula were not present in the specimen, two octagons with different sizes were modelled and imported into Avizo to provide a surface for easier placement of the insertion landmarks. A small octagon was positioned at the axis vertebra (C2) and a larger one at the scapula, closely following the bone’s orientation (Supporting Information, Figures 2 and 3). The purpose of the octagonal shape was only to provide topological information (vertex and sides) to place the landmarks more easily. The neck muscle origin and insertion landmarks were then imported into ANSYS and muscle strands defined as flexible link elements with equivalent soft tissue material properties.

Material properties

The model was assigned bone material properties taken from the cortical bone of domestic dogs (Young Modulus, i.e. \( E = 13.7 \text{ GPa}; \nu = 0.30 \)), following Slater and Van Valkenburgh [6] in which these values were applied to various felid species. To the best of our knowledge, there are no material property data for the cat’s dura in the literature, but human values are well known and were selected as a reasonable approximation (\( E = 31.5 \text{ MPa}; \nu = 0.45 \) (after Kleiven and Holst [17]). The same applies to the PDL (\( E = 50 \text{ MPa}; \nu = 0.49 \)) which was taken from Rees and Jacobsen [18]. A 0.5 MPa value was
assigned to the remaining generic soft tissues [19], including the brain endocast, link elements and filling materials ($v = 0.45$).

All material properties assigned to the different tissues were assumed to be isotropic, homogeneous, and linear elastic, as it has been demonstrated that models using these properties still produce reasonable estimates of the stress and strain distributions [20-22]. Also, as this study focuses on a comparison of two versions of the same model by varying the material properties of the structures of interest, minor inaccuracies in the material properties will not be critical as long as these remain constant in both versions. Nevertheless, because specific material property data for *Felis silvestris catus* were not available, sensitivity tests were undertaken for the soft tissues, to assess their impact on the results (see Table 1). All these tests were performed for a bilateral canine bite. A dura mater thickness of 0.55 mm was taken from Cotton *et al.* [23] for humans, but further sensitivity tests with constant thickness values of 0.2 mm and 1.5 mm were also undertaken. The dura mater analyses were also carried out with values of 3 MPa and 300 MPa, and for the generic facial soft tissue various values (5 MPa, 50 MPa and 500 MPa) were tested independently. Sensitivity tests were also carried out to assess the importance of wrapping the superficial temporalis.

**Boundary conditions**

The skull was subjected to bilateral and unilateral canine and carnassial bites with different falx and tentorium material properties simulating either soft dural or hard osseous tissues in various combinations (see Supporting Information, Table 5). For the bilateral canine analyses, one node was constrained dorso-ventrally at the tip of each canine, with one node at the left glenoid fossa constrained in all degrees of freedom and the opposite node on the right side constrained in two directions (anterior-posteriorly and dorso-ventrally). These minimal constraints reduce the risk of artefacts from over-constraining the model [24, 25]. For the unilateral canine analyses, only the node at the tip of the left canine was constrained. For the carnassial analyses the same configuration at the glenoid fossae was maintained, but the anterior constraints were located at the notch between the paracone and the metacone of each carnassial (left carnassial in the case of the unilateral biting).
Additionally, two extrinsic loading regimes were applied to the model, one to simulate a pullback movement, the other a lateral pull. Similar types of analyses have been performed in previous studies of felids [6, 26], but using different approaches. Here, the extrinsic loading conditions were applied in combination with biting by applying the muscle forces and reaction forces at the glenoid fossae and the canines for bilateral biting as predicted by the previous analyses. (In theory, these forces place the loaded skull in perfect equilibrium, however due to unavoidable rounding errors in the software, there will inevitably be some, albeit negligible, out-of-balance force). In addition, while the bite force loading was maintained, further loads were superimposed to simulate the pullback or lateral pull action, thereby replicating the loading of the skull in vivo. Two constraint conditions were applied; one with, and one without the neck. For the first model, without the neck, three locations on the posterior cranium were minimally constrained; two at the occipital condyles and the third located between them, over the foramen magnum. One node was constrained in all degrees of freedom, the second in only two directions (anterior-posteriorly and dorso-ventrally), while the third (over the foramen magnum) was constrained anterior-posteriorly only. In the second variation, when the neck was modelled, all nodes corresponding to the muscle insertion points were constrained in all degrees of freedom. For the pullback simulation, once a bite force loading and the constraint option had been specified, an arbitrary pullback force of 25 N was applied to the upper posterior area of each canine, directed in a posterior-anterior direction, and subjecting the skull to tensional forces. For the lateral pull, the same force was applied to the left lateral surface of the canines. Thus, the two loading analyses were carried out with and without the neck structure, and the differences compared.

The total maximum bite force predicted by the model, measured at the tip of the canines for a bilateral bite, was 101.1 N, while the unilateral carnassial bite force was predicted to be 175.8 N. By using a modified version of the dry skull method [27], Sakamoto et al. [28] estimated a canine bite force of 177 N based on the skull width of fourteen specimens of Felis silvestris catus (median skull width, 62 mm; the skull width of our model is 75 mm, measured across the zygomatic arches, following Sakamoto and Ruta [29]). In contrast, using the same dry skull method, Christiansen and Wroe [30] (skull width not provided) reported a lower value of 73.3 N.
Performance of the skulls was evaluated by considering the von Mises stress value as this measure has been employed previously to assess skull behaviour (including earlier research in felid cranial biomechanics, such as McHenry et al. [31], Wroe [26], and Slater and Van Valkenburgh [6]). Von Mises stress is also convenient because it is a scalar function combining the three principal stresses, is related to the von Mises failure criterion, and is useful for comparing the performance of complex 3D geometries.

Due to the large number of comparative analyses performed in this study, difference plots are used to present the results in an easy and concise manner, and in such a way that even small differences in stress values become immediately evident (Supporting Information, figure 4), as it has been done in previous research [7]. For the difference plots, the following convention is used for all the results: the minuend of the subtraction is always the model with the osseous material properties while the subtrahend is the model with the soft tissue material properties. Thus negative values (cold colours) represent areas in which stress is lower in the osseous model, and positive values (warm colours) are areas in which stress is higher in the osseous model, and areas with no significant stress differences are centred around green. More even stress distributions and lower stress values represent a structure more adapted to withstand stresses under a particular loading regime [6].

**Sensitivity tests**

The preliminary sensitivity tests demonstrated that neither the stress magnitude nor distribution were significantly affected by the variations considered. As a result detailed stress plots are not presented here, and the following summarizes the outcome of those investigations. Changes in dura mater thickness did not lead to any discernable differences in the stress pattern and magnitude in the bone. Similarly, no meaningful differences were noticed between dura mater elastic modulus values of 3 MPa and 30 MPa, but there was a slight decrease in stress in the skull roof area for a value of 300 MPa, as would be expected. The sensitivity tests also demonstrated that using the higher elastic modulus value for the (soft tissue) cavity filling materials resulted in lower stresses across the skull, but the changes were negligible between the range of 0.5 MPa and 50 MPa. Concerning the muscle wrapping, and ignoring the local artefacts caused
by the attachment of the muscle “hairs” of each wrapping strand, again almost imperceptible variations in stress distribution were observed through the model. During bilateral canine biting, changes in bite force between the models with and without muscle wrapping, as measured at the tip of both canines, were also negligible (< 1 N). Increasing the number of muscle strands would have distributed the loading more evenly over the bone, but it seems highly unlikely that it would have changed the overall conclusion of this test, as the direction of the resultant force would not change.

Results

After the sensitivity tests, the model was subjected to a series of intrinsic and extrinsic loading regimes in which canine and carnassial biting were simulated. Considering the models with a soft falx and an ossified tentorium first (i.e. the natural condition in Felis silvestris catus), for the bilateral canine biting simulation stresses were equally high in the rostrum, the zygomatic arches and the palatine and presphenoid bones (Supporting Information, figure 5, left columns). In the rostrum, the nasal bones experience lower stresses than the surrounding bones, with the stress transmitted through the maxilla and into the frontal bone, until it reaches the approximate location of the coronal suture, where it dissipates. Regions of low or no stress can be identified within the parietal and interparietal bones, the tympanic bullae and the postorbital processes. In the carnassial bilateral biting simulation, stress in the rostrum and the palatine were greatly reduced but remained constant in the zygomatic arches and seemed to be slightly higher throughout the orbit and in certain areas of the zygomatic bone. With unilateral biting, either with canine or carnassial teeth, stresses were higher on the working side both in the rostrum and the cranial roof (Supporting Information, figure 5, right columns). It is also worth noting that the stress at the back of the skull remains essentially unchanged for all these loading regimes. In the case of the extrinsic loads with an ossified tentorium, the pullback loading regime seemed to most closely replicate the simple bilateral bite (Supporting Information, figure 6). For the lateral pull, higher stresses manifested in the skull roof of the side opposite to the applied force. The largest differences between the two sides seemed to be located in the frontal bone and postorbital processes. Slight variations of stress magnitude were detected with the inclusion of the neck muscles in the analyses for either case, but there were no meaningful differences in stress distribution.
When models with ossified structures are compared to those with soft structures, differences in stress distribution and magnitude in cranial bone are also uncommon, regardless of the biting regime. Changes in the material properties of the falx cerebri do not lead to any discernible variations in the external skull stress patterns. However, difference plots demonstrate that the models with an ossified tentorium consistently exhibit lower stress values in the parietal and temporal bones, including the tympanic bulla (figures 3 and 4), with slight or minor differences depending on the particular regime. To provide further detail about the differences, 40 nodes at three sample locations of approximately 0.5 mm diameter were probed (Supporting Information, figure 7) for both ossified and non-ossified tentorium models during a bilateral canine biting regime. The highest stress decrease identified was 2.11 MPa at the inferior region of the temporal bone. Also, locally high stresses are observed in the interparietal and the sagittal crest for the lateral pull plus biting regime with no neck. These appear to be a consequence of the oversimplified constraints applied, causing the load path to be focussed through those regions, because the equivalent version with neck muscles does not display them, and therefore they probably don’t have mechanical significance. It is worth noting that stresses in the rostrum and the anterior area of the skull roof remained unaltered for all cases tested.

Examination of the stresses in the tentorium both ossified and non-ossified versions (figure 5 and supplementary figure 8; note the different scales of the contour plots) shows that higher stresses are located anteriorly, with peak stress values in the area in contact with the parietal wall, and lower values in the borders of the tentorial notch. Apart from these differences in magnitude, the actual stress distribution remains unchanged for the different material properties and biting regimes. In the falx cerebri (figure 6), the stress is more unevenly distributed, but appears to be higher at the anterior third (especially in the soft falx cerebri) and the posterior end, particularly in the osseous falx for all regimes except the carnassial unilateral biting, and in the soft falx for both canine bites. The stresses in the osseous falx and tentorium are to various degrees of magnitude higher than those in the versions with soft tissue material properties, but in the extrinsic biting regimes (supplementary figure 9) the soft falx seems to experience higher stresses overall. Moreover, adding or removing the dura mater layer over the brain endocast surface does not seem to have any effects on the results. In general, the cranial vault of the cat skull does not experiences meaningful
amounts of tension or compression (Supporting Information, figure 10), but the area of the temporal bone where the tentorium is located is subjected to compressive stresses. Compression is also visible in the tentorium cerebelli wings and in the posterior end of the midline, at the attachment of the falx cerebri.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Our aim was to test whether the presence of the osseous falx or tentorium played a significant role in reducing stress in the cranial bones under different biting regimes in *Felis silvestris catus*. We observed that changing their material properties did lead to a considerable reduction of stress in the originally softer structures (figures 5 and 6 and supplementary figures 8 and 9) but we did not observe the same effect in the cranial bone when considering the model as a whole.

In the case of the falx cerebri, the alteration of its material properties did not lead to any changes in the von Mises stress pattern of the cranium. According to the CT scans, the patches of ossification in the falx of the original specimen are mainly located in the middle to posterior end regions of the structure (in the case of the non-scanned specimen, ossification nodules appear in the middle section; see figure 2). Thus there does not seem to be any correlation between their location and the predicted stress pattern from the FE analyses (figure 6, supplementary figure 9) and, in any case, it seems unlikely that these isolated nodules have any mechanical significance, since they appear disconnected from the cranial roof. For the tentorium cerebelli, the stress is concentrated at the end of both “wings” of the structure (figure 5, supplementary figure 8).

Stress differences between models with ossified and non-ossified tentoria were limited to the back of the skull, and specifically to the bones adjacent to the tentorium (parietal and temporal, including the tympanic bulla), and perhaps indicates that the tentorium may play a minor role during feeding. The difference in stress magnitude is however small (see Supporting Information, figure 7) and therefore these results should be treated with caution. A more detailed model is necessary to assess the specific effects that this reduction in stress may pose on the brain. The area of interest at the back of the skull initially suggested a link between the neck muscles, as they are primarily attached to this region, but the extrinsic analyses that incorporated the neck did not reveal any
meaningful differences. A recent study by McIntosh and Cox [32] demonstrates that, for mole-rats, a progressive increase in gape leads to a decrease in stress in the anterior regions of the cranium and an increase posteriorly. Felids are known to exhibit high values of maximum gape (61.3° in Felis chaus [33], a closely related species to Felis silvestris catus), and it is possible that analysis with higher gape angles may reveal a more significant role for an ossified tentorium.

The use of simple linear elastic properties for the falx and the tentorium is one of the limitations of the current analysis. In particular for this study, the non-ossified materials are assumed to resist loads in both tension and compression, whereas in reality they are tension-only materials. As a result, the model may overestimate their influence. However since the stiffness of these structures is orders of magnitude less than that of bone and their thicknesses are much smaller, their effect will be minimal, as demonstrated by the sensitivity studies. We believe therefore that this simplification does not alter the overall conclusions of the study.

Of the four different intrinsic biting regimes considered (Supporting Information, figure 5), the unilateral carnassial bite generates the highest peak stresses, being particularly high in the orbital region. From simple lever mechanics, it is evident that carnassial bites will generate higher forces than canine ones (for example, 118.1 N vs. 73.3 N, as calculated by Christiansen and Wroe [30]; 180.6 N vs. 101.1 N in our model for the bilateral carnassial bite). The results from this study show that the most efficient biting regime in Felis silvestris catus is the carnassial bilateral bite, as this is the one that generates the highest bite forces while experiencing the lowest overall stresses and the lowest peak stresses. In nature, biting and grasping are mostly carried out with the incisors and canines, while the carnassials are used for cutting and tearing food [34-36]. However, according to Orsini and Hennet [35], the upper jaw is larger than the lower in cats and therefore, for the teeth of both sides to be joined during mastication, the mandible has to be brought to one side, so it is highly doubtful that this type of carnassial bilateral bite will ever be used in nature. Force variation between bilateral and unilateral carnassial bites (180.6 N vs. 175.8 N) seems to be, in any case, negligible.

The skull shape of felids is rather conservative [37, 38]. Some researchers have developed FE models of extinct saber-toothed cats and other felids [6, 26, 31, 38] where biting regimes were based upon the cat’s masticatory cycles and hunting behaviour.
These studies demonstrated that felid skulls exhibit similar stress patterns when biting, and that stress is largely confined to the rostrum, the mandible and the zygomatic arch region. Our results follow a similar trend and replicate the ones obtained by Slater and Van Valkenburgh [6] from the cranium of *Felis lybica*, a closely related species, and also largely agree with the classic experimental study of a *Felis silvestris catus* cranium performed by Buckland-Wright [34].

According to the literature, the most probable function for the tentorium cerebelli is to withstand the weight of the cerebral hemispheres [39, 40], given that it is present in birds and mammals, and that both groups are characterised by a more developed brain than other tetrapods. Even when closely comparing different mammal species (see Klintworth [1], Table 1), it seems reasonable to infer a relationship between tentorium development and encephalization quotient [41], using values of tentorial index as indicators (the tentorium is considered to be more developed as the length of the straight sinus increases). The lower values are consistently present in orders with low brain quotients, such as Rodentia, Lagomorpha and Chiroptera, and increase in Carnivora, Cetacea and Primates [42]. The function of the falx cerebri may be to constrain the brain and limit displacement and rotation inside the cranium [43, 44]. However, the presence of a bony falx and tentorium defies a simple explanation. The degree of ossification varies among different species and groups and it can develop before or after birth [1, 3]. In carnivorans, an ossified tentorium cerebelli is present in almost all species, with the exception of *Mephitis mephitis*. It is more developed in Felidae, Viverridae and Hyaenidae, where the structure is fully ossified and crosses the petrosa, than in other groups such as Phocidae or Canidae, where ossification does not reach the base of the skull [3]. An ossified falx is present in all pinnipeds, but also in the genus *Ursus* [3]. Sometimes the condition manifests in species that normally exhibit a soft-tissue falx and tentorium: for example, partial falx ossification is relatively frequent in humans (around 10% of the adult population [45, 46]) and tentorium ossification, while rarer, also exists [45, 47].

In the analyses presented, all intrinsic and extrinsic biting regimes consistently resulted in the same pattern of stress across the cranium, which suggests that the function of the dural ossifications is not related to the forces exerted by struggling prey or in the action of pulling or tearing a carcass. However, feral *Felis silvestris catus* mostly feed on small prey such as birds, mice and even some invertebrates [48],...
therefore similar tests should be run on larger predatory felids before completely ruling out a protective role for the dural ossifications during prey handling and feeding. This is especially important given the fact that small felids have proportionally larger braincases [49] and that may have a meaningful effect on the results. A new model with a more detailed brain would also help to resolve whether the stress reductions observed in the back of the skull lead to a corresponding decrease in the stress in the brain. Equally, it is important to note that the skulls of carnivorans in general, and felids in particular, are subjected to forces other than those associated with feeding, such as the ones resulting from acceleration or deceleration. In the past, various functional hypotheses have been proposed for the ossified falx and tentorium in carnivorans, notably that they serve as an extra protection for the brain to avoid injuries during locomotion (particularly relevant in the case of felids) or during mastication [3]. Nojima’s argument to dismiss this is based on the fact that most carnivorans manifest ossification but most herbivores do not, despite displaying a wide range of different speeds and behaviours. This still remains a strong case, but perhaps future research should focus on these and other alternative loading situations in order to address the role of the osseous falx and tentorium.
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**Figures and Tables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity test</th>
<th>Values tested</th>
<th>Standard value used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus (MPa) of dura mater</td>
<td>3, 31.5, 300</td>
<td>31.5 MPa ¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm) of dura mater</td>
<td>0.2, 0.55, 1.5</td>
<td>0.55 mm ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (MPa) of other soft tissues</td>
<td>0.5, 5, 50, 500</td>
<td>0.5 MPa ³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Sensitivity test values for the dura mater and other soft tissues (which also include the filling materials and the link elements). ¹ Kleiven and Holst, 2002, ² Cotton et al., 2016, ³ Huempfner-Hierl et al., 2015.

**Figure 1:** Left: The skull used for the *in silico* model after performing a virtual parasagittal cut in the braincase to reveal the falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli (displayed in blue and red, respectively). Top right: Falx cerebri in medial-lateral view. Bottom right: Tentorium cerebelli in dorsal view.

**Figure 2:** Left: parasagittal cut of the second specimen, with patches of ossification (highlighted in red) in the posterior falx. Right: Coronal view of a CT image slice which shows an oval shape following the midline.

**Figure 3:** Von Mises stress difference plots for the (intrinsic) biting analyses, comparing osseous and soft tentorium models. (See Supporting Information, figure 4, for an explanation of the differencing process).

**Figure 4:** Von Mises stress difference plots for extrinsic analyses (biting plus pulling/tearing loads) comparing osseous and soft tentorium models. (See Supporting Information, figure 4, for an explanation of the differencing process).
Figure 5: Von Mises stress plots for the tentorium cerebelli. Top row: Osseous and soft tentorium in dorsal view for all intrinsic regimes. Bottom row: Soft tentorium for the same loading regimes as the top row, but with adjusted contour levels to reveal the stress patterns.

Figure 6: Von Mises stress plots for the falx cerebri. Top row: Osseous falx cerebri in medial-lateral view for all intrinsic regimes. Bottom row: Soft falx cerebri for the same analyses, but with adjusted contour levels to reveal the stress patterns.