Sn 5s² lone pairs and the electronic structure of tin sulphides: a photoreflectance, high-energy photoemission and theoretical investigation
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The effects of Sn 5s lone pairs in the different phases of Sn sulphides are investigated with photoreflectance, hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) and density functional theory. Due to the photon energy-dependence of the photoionisation cross-sections, at high photon energy, the Sn 5s orbital photoemission has increased intensity relative to that from other orbitals. This enables the Sn 5s state contribution at the top of the valence band in the different Sn-sulphides, SnS, SnS₂, and SnS₂, to be clearly identified. SnS and SnS₂ contain Sn(II) cations and the corresponding Sn 5s lone pairs are at the valence band maximum (VBM), leading to ∼1.0–1.3 eV band gaps and relatively high VBM on an absolute energy scale. In contrast, SnS₂ only contains Sn(IV) cations, no filled lone pairs and therefore has a ∼2.3 eV room temperature band gap and much lower VBM compared with SnS and SnS₂. The direct band gaps of these materials at 20 K are found using photoreflectance to be 1.36, 1.08 and 2.47 eV for SnS, SnS₂, and SnS₂, respectively, which further highlights the effect of having the lone pair states at the VBM. As well as elucidating the role of the Sn 5s lone pairs in determining the band gaps and band alignments of the family of Sn-sulphide compounds, this also highlights how HAXPES is an ideal method for probing the lone pair contribution to the density of states of the emerging class of materials with ns² configuration.

I. INTRODUCTION

Group 13 through to group 16 post transition metals and metalloids within compounds exhibit two oxidation states; the group oxidation state, N, and a lower N-2 oxidation state; the latter being due to chemically inert, but frequently stereochemically active, lone-pair electrons. The occupation of these ns² lone pairs means that the materials have vastly different properties from when the cation has the group oxidation state. Compounds that have the lone-pair configuration are used in many different applications, for example: optoelectronic materials, such as bismuth and antimony based oxysalides and chalcogenides [1]; photocatalysts, Bi₂O₃ combined with V₂O₅ where lone pairs are important for the photoreactivity of the material [2, 3]; chemical energy storage, β-PbO₂ [4]; and solar absorbers, lead (II) halides and chalcogenides, germanium chalcogenides, bismuth sulphide and copper-antimony- and copper-bismuth-chalcogenides [5–8]. Therefore, a good understanding of these materials is essential.

What these materials have in common is a distorted crystal structure accompanied by the ns² configuration. The distortion means that the structures are non-centrosymmetric, which results in symmetry allowed mixing of the s and p states that stabilizes the distortion [9]. The lone pair is usually projected into a void within the structure and energetically is located at the valence band maximum (VBM) of these materials.

The presence of lone pairs changes the properties of a material. A good representation of these changes is given by the tin sulphide phases. As with several other group 13-16 metals and metalloids [9], Sn can be found in two oxidation states, N and N-2 where N is in the group valence: for the case of tin, these are Sn(II) and Sn(IV) with electron configurations of [Kr]4d¹⁰5s²⁵p⁰ and [Kr]4d¹⁰5s⁵p⁵ respectively. This means that several different phases of the Sn sulphides can be realised. The ones used for this study are shown in Figure 1: 1) orthorhombic SnS with the Prima space group; 2) SnS₂
SnS has an orthorhombic herzenbergite structure where Sn\(^{2+}\) coordinates to three S\(^{2-}\) ions and a lone pair occupies the last tetrahedral position. Tin sulphide (SnS) exhibits all the requirements for a photovoltaic absorber, such as its elements are abundant and non-toxic, it has a high optical absorption coefficient and its absorption onset coincides with the Shockley-Queisser limit within the AM 1.5 solar spectrum with its direct band gap of \(\sim 1.3\) eV [10–13]. The record power conversion efficiency for SnS solar cells is 4.8% [14]. One of the limitations to the performance of SnS is the secondary phases (Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) and SnS\(_2\)) that can form during growth. The reduction of Sn(IV) to Sn(II) in copper zinc tin sulphide (CZTS) has also been shown to produce defects that are deleterious to the photovoltaic performance of CZTS [15].

SnS\(_2\) on the other hand, consists of a hexagonal arrangement of six S\(^{2-}\) ions coordinated to each Sn\(^{4+}\) ion. The sulphur atoms are in an octahedral formation around the Sn atom. Despite being detrimental to the photovoltaic performance for SnS, SnS\(_2\) has its own uses for visible light water splitting [16] because of its two-dimensional nature and band gap of \(\sim 2.3\) eV [17–19].

Both Sn oxidation states can be found in one compound. An example of this is SnS\(_2\). Its structure is comprised of Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) chains where the Sn atoms in the centre of the chains are octahedrally coordinated and thus in the Sn(IV) oxidation state whereas the Sn atoms at the chain ends are found in the Sn(II) oxidation state as they have adopted a trigonal pyramidal arrangement. Therefore Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) also has lone pairs similar to SnS. This material with multi-valent Sn has not been studied as extensively as the other two Sn sulphides.

It has been shown previously that hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) can be a favourable technique for probing the lone pair states in PbO\(_2\) [4]. From the calculations of Scofield [20] and Yeh and Lindau [21], it is known that the photoionisation cross-sections of \(s\) orbitals generally fall less rapidly than those of \(p\), \(d\) or \(f\) orbitals with increasing photon energy. For example the cross-section of Sn 4d is 3644 Barns at 1486.7 eV and 49 Barns at 6000 eV, whereas for Sn 5s the cross-section is 628 Barns at 1486.7 eV and 44 Barns at 6000 eV. This illustrates the different rates of decay of the photoionisation cross-section with photon energy for different orbitals. The variation of the cross-sections of the Sn valence orbitals is shown in Figure 2.

FIG. 1. Ground state structures of the tin sulphides used for this study. SnS (left), Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) (middle) and SnS\(_2\) (right). The tin atoms are green and the sulphur atoms are purple.

The photoionization probabilities decrease with increasing incident photon energy due to the decrease in the wavelength of the continuum states as the electron kinetic energy increases. This wavelength becomes increasingly comparable with the radial extension of the valence orbitals, resulting in cancellation of terms contributing to the transition moment. In general, \(s\) cross-sections decrease less rapidly because the orbitals are more contracted [22]. Therefore, exploiting this by using synchrotron-generated hard x-rays allows \(s\)-state lone pairs in such materials to be probed more effectively than with soft (1486.7 eV) x-rays.

Previous work has reported the use of 1486.7 eV photoemission in order to identify the tin sulphide phases [23]. At the valence band edge a small intensity is seen for the lone pair containing Sn sulphides. However, it is seen that the valence band is dominated by S 3p orbitals due to the relative cross-sections. Figure 2 shows the photoionisation cross-sections of the Sn 4d, 5s and 5p orbitals depicting how the cross-section of the Sn 5p states falls much more rapidly than that of Sn 5s with increasing photon energy.

Therefore, this work presents the first report of HAXPES measurements of the electronic structure of Sn sulphides. It highlights the usefulness of HAXPES when determining the electronic structure of lone-pair configurations as the relative strength of the corresponding \(s\) state will be greater than when lab based x-rays are utilized; not just for Sn sulphides, but for all \(n_s^2\) systems. It also presents the first reported photoreflectance (PR) measurement of Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) as well as PR measurements for both SnS and SnS\(_2\) where the fundamental direct band gaps are revealed. These measurements emphasize the
effects of the presence of lone pairs on the band gap.

II. METHODS

Single crystals of the three tin sulphides were grown by chemical vapour transport, further details of which can be found elsewhere for SnS and Sn$_2$S$_3$ [24] and for SnS$_2$ [25]. The phase purity of the crystals was confirmed using a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with backscattering geometry, using a 532 nm wavelength laser with a power of 0.2 W focused through an inverted microscope (Leica) via a 50× objective lens at multiple points across each crystal.

The direct band gaps of the single crystals were determined using photoreflectance measurements. During PR measurements, each sample was mounted on a cold finger in a helium closed-cycle refrigerator coupled with a programmable temperature controller, allowing measurements in the 20–320 K temperature range. The reflected light from the sample was dispersed by a grating 0.55 m focal-length monochromator and detected using a Si photodiode or a thermoelectrically cooled InGaAs p-i-n photodiode. To illuminate the sample, a semiconductor laser (405 nm line) and a 150 W tungsten-halogen bulb were used as the pump and probe beams, respectively. The pump beam was modulated by a mechanical chopper at a frequency of 290 Hz. Phase-sensitive detection of the PR signal was made using a lock-in amplifier.

Hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy measurements were conducted on as-entered samples at the I09 beamline at Diamond Light Source (DLS), Oxfordshire, UK. The photon energy used for the measurements was 5921 eV, selected by a double-crystal Si (111) monochromator followed by a Si (004) channel-cut high-resolution monochromator. The experimental resolution was determined to be 0.3 eV by fitting a Gaussian-broadened Fermi-Dirac function to the measured Fermi edge of a polycrystalline gold sample. Photoelectrons were measured with a VG Scienta EW4000 electron analyser with a ±30° acceptance angle. All binding energies were referenced to the Fermi level at 0 eV.

The bulk electronic structure of the three Sn sulfide phases was simulated using Kohn-Sham DFT [26, 27] as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [28]. The electron exchange and correlation were modeled using the Perdew–Burke–Enzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional [29]. Van der Waals forces were accounted for using the DFT-D3 dispersion correction [30] with Becke–Johnson damping [31]. A plane-wave basis with a 550 eV kinetic energy cutoff was used with projector augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials [32, 33] treating the Sn 5s, 4d, and 5p and the S 3s and 3p states as valence electrons. Γ-centred Monkhorst-Pack k-point grids [34] with 8 × 4 × 8, 4 × 8 × 3 and 8 × 8 × 6 subdivisions for SnS, Sn$_2$S$_3$, and SnS$_2$, respectively, were used to sample the Brillouin zones. A convergence criteria of 1 × 10$^{-7}$ eV on the total energy was used during the electronic minimizations. The cell shape, ion positions and volume were optimized until the forces on each atom were below 5 × 10$^{-3}$ eV Å$^{-1}$. For the calculation of the electronic structure the HSE06 hybrid functional was used [35–37]. Denser Brillouin zone sampling meshes with 12 × 6 × 12, 6 × 12 × 5, and 12 × 12 × 8 subdivisions (196, 84, and 131 irreducible k−points) were used for SnS, Sn$_2$S$_3$, and SnS$_2$, respectively, and the integration was performed using the Blöchl−corrected tetrahedron method [38]. The tolerance on the electronic minimization for these calculations was reduced to 10$^{-6}$ eV.

To calculate the valence band density of states (DOS) of litharge SnO (space group P4/nmm), the PBE-GGA functional was used. The total energy of SnO was converged to within 1 meV per atom using a plane-wave energy cutoff of 520 eV and a convergence criteria of 1 × 10$^{-8}$ eV on the total energy. The Sn 5s, 4d, and 5p and the O 2s and 2p states were treated as valence electrons. A Γ-centered k-point mesh with 21 × 21 × 21 subdivisions was used to sample the Brillouin zone. Geometry optimizations were performed to reduce the forces on each atom to less than 10$^{-4}$ eV Å$^{-1}$. The PBE-GGA lattice parameters for SnO were $a = 3.8703$ Å and $c = 5.0368$ Å.

In order to compare the DFT calculation to photoemission data, the calculated DOS has been cross-section corrected [20]. The calculated DOS was also broadened to account for lifetime broadening and instrumental broadening. The Gaussian broadening was kept fixed at 0.3 eV full width at half maximum (FWHM) to represent the instrumental broadening and Lorentzian lifetime broadening was applied to achieve a reasonable fit. The Lorentzian broadening was 0.4 eV for SnS, 0.3 eV for Sn$_2$S$_3$, 0.2 eV for SnS$_2$ and 0.4 eV for SnO.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Raman Spectroscopy - Phase Determination

Raman spectroscopy was utilised in order to determine the phases of the crystals, in particular for SnS$_2$ as it can be found in multiple polytypes: 2H, 4H and 18R which have similar, but distinct Raman modes between 198 and 220 cm$^{-1}$. For the 2H SnS$_2$ polytype, there is only one peak within this range which is the E$_2$ mode [19].

The Raman spectra for all three phases are shown in Figure 3. For SnS, the spectrum contains four peaks located at 95 cm$^{-1}$, 164 cm$^{-1}$, 192 cm$^{-1}$ and 218 cm$^{-1}$ in agreement with previous literature [39], indicating orthorhombic SnS (space group Pnma). The Sn$_2$S$_3$ Raman spectrum contains six peaks at 71 cm$^{-1}$, 87 cm$^{-1}$, 155 cm$^{-1}$, 182 cm$^{-1}$, 236 cm$^{-1}$ and 308 cm$^{-1}$, consistent with the orthorhombic crystal structure of Sn$_2$S$_3$ (space group Pnma) [40]. Finally, the Raman spectrum of SnS$_2$ has an intense mode at 314 cm$^{-1}$, and two low-intensity modes at 139 cm$^{-1}$ and 205 cm$^{-1}$, confirming the 2H

shape, ion positions and volume were optimized until the forces on each atom were below 5 × 10$^{-3}$ eV Å$^{-1}$. For the calculation of the electronic structure the HSE06 hybrid functional was used [35–37]. Denser Brillouin zone sampling meshes with 12 × 6 × 12, 6 × 12 × 5, and 12 × 12 × 8 subdivisions (196, 84, and 131 irreducible k−points) were used for SnS, Sn$_2$S$_3$, and SnS$_2$, respectively, and the integration was performed using the Blöchl−corrected tetrahedron method [38]. The tolerance on the electronic minimization for these calculations was reduced to 10$^{-6}$ eV.

To calculate the valence band density of states (DOS) of litharge SnO (space group P4/nmm), the PBE-GGA functional was used. The total energy of SnO was converged to within 1 meV per atom using a plane-wave energy cutoff of 520 eV and a convergence criteria of 1 × 10$^{-8}$ eV on the total energy. The Sn 5s, 4d, and 5p and the O 2s and 2p states were treated as valence electrons. A Γ-centered k-point mesh with 21 × 21 × 21 subdivisions was used to sample the Brillouin zone. Geometry optimizations were performed to reduce the forces on each atom to less than 10$^{-4}$ eV Å$^{-1}$. The PBE-GGA lattice parameters for SnO were $a = 3.8703$ Å and $c = 5.0368$ Å.

In order to compare the DFT calculation to photoemission data, the calculated DOS has been cross-section corrected [20]. The calculated DOS was also broadened to account for lifetime broadening and instrumental broadening. The Gaussian broadening was kept fixed at 0.3 eV full width at half maximum (FWHM) to represent the instrumental broadening and Lorentzian lifetime broadening was applied to achieve a reasonable fit. The Lorentzian broadening was 0.4 eV for SnS, 0.3 eV for Sn$_2$S$_3$, 0.2 eV for SnS$_2$ and 0.4 eV for SnO.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Raman Spectroscopy - Phase Determination
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hexagonal crystal structure (space group \(P\bar{3}m1\)) [19], as the 4H and 18R polytypes have multiple modes between 198 and 220 cm\(^{-1}\) [41]. The peak positions for all three phases are consistent with DFT calculated Raman spectra [42]. The vibrational modes observed did not indicate any secondary phases, illustrating the high quality and phase purity of the crystals used for this study.

B. Photoreflectance - Band Gaps and Direct Transitions

Photoreflectance was employed in order to determine the direct band gaps of the crystals. Furthermore, the band gap could be used to corroborate the polytype of the SnS\(_2\) crystal because the 4H polytype has a lower band gap than 2H [43–45].

The photoreflectance data is shown in Figure 4 where an Aspnes fit [46] is applied in order to extract the band gap for direct interband transitions. However, for SnS\(_2\) a PR signal could not be obtained at 20 K. Therefore, a Varshni fit [47] was used to model the temperature dependence of the band gap from PR data recorded between 290 and 80 K in order to extrapolate to a band gap at 20 K. This was found to be 2.47 eV with the Varshni equation parameters \(\alpha = 1.27 \times 10^{-3}\) eV K\(^{-1}\), \(\beta = 350.09\) K and \(E_{g0} = 2.476\) eV.

SnS can be seen to have two very strong features in its PR spectrum corresponding to direct transitions at 1.36 and 1.66 eV, which are consistent with the \(E_0\) and \(E_1\) direct transitions previously reported from ellipsometry and electroreflectance measurements [48, 49]. These transitions corresponded to direct transitions between the valence band and conduction band along the \(\Gamma\) to \(Y\) direction and the \(\Gamma\) to \(X\) direction, respectively which can be seen in Figure 3 of Ref. 48.

As no PR data for SnS\(_2\) has been reported previously in the literature, it is used here to determine the lowest energy direct transitions of SnS\(_2\). SnS\(_2\) shows two PR features, the main feature being at 1.29 eV with a weaker feature at 1.08 eV. The literature reports many values for the band gap and lowest energy optical transitions for SnS\(_2\), ranging from 0.95 to 2 eV. This range is due to different approaches to the data analysis whereby different types of optical transition were assumed, and also to sample variation between studies [50–53]. The lower literature band gap values (0.95, 1.08 and 1.16 eV) are reported as direct forbidden transitions which would be expected to be weak. Indeed, the PR spectrum for SnS\(_2\) has lower signal-to-noise ratio compared to the other two phases, as a consequence of the weaker signals associated with two forbidden direct transitions. The finding of two direct transitions is consistent with the work of Sanchez-Juarez et al. [51], where two absorption onsets were observed with the lower energy one being much weaker than the other. Singh also reported a weak direct transition at 0.97 eV from the calculated band structure similar to what is seen in experiments [54].

SnS\(_2\) was found to have a room temperature direct band gap of 2.30 eV and an 80 K value of 2.45 eV which are consistent with the 2H polytype and not the 4H, as the 4H polytype has been reported to have slightly lower band gap values of 2.15 eV and 2.30 eV at room temperature and at 77 K respectively [43]. The direct band gap at 20 K was estimated from the Varshni fit to be 2.47 eV, consistent with Powell’s findings for 2H SnS\(_2\) [43].

![Figure 3: Raman spectra for all three Sn sulphide phases showing the phase purity of the materials.](image1.png)

![Figure 4: Photoreflectance data for SnS (top), SnS\(_2\) (middle) and SnS\(_3\) (bottom). The pink dashed line represents the Aspnes fit applied to the data depicted by the black solid line. The transition energies determined from the fits are shown by the vertical dashed blue lines. The inset (bottom left) shows a Varshni fit (solid green line) to the temperature-dependent direct band gap values of SnS\(_2\) from PR in order to extract the direct band gap at 20 K.](image2.png)
fundamental direct band gap has been found from DFT to be at the M point and within the range of 2.16–2.77 eV. This spread of reported values is largely due to the different treatments applied to account for the van der Waals interactions [19, 55, 56].

Thus, the three fundamental direct band gaps for SnS, SnS$_2$S$_3$ and SnS$_2$ were found to be 1.36, 1.08 and 2.47 eV at 20 K. While these values from PR are consistent with previous literature [43, 51, 57, 58], they represent clearer direct band gap determinations than for much of the prior work. Interpretation of PR data is somewhat less ambiguous than for both optical absorption derived from transmission and reflection data and spectroscopic ellipsometry with the complexity of the modelling involved. This is particularly the case for SnS$_3$, for which reports of optical properties are scarce. As PR does not give information on the indirect transitions, the 0 K indirect band gaps determined from the calculations presented here are: SnS, 1.11 eV; SnS$_2$S$_3$, 1.09 eV; and SnS$_2$, 2.24 eV [24].

C. HAXPES and Theoretical DOS

The core level spectra for all three tin sulphide phases were obtained using HAXPES. The binding energies and FWHM values of the core level peaks are presented in Table I. The most intense core level lines for Sn and S, Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ and S 2p are shown in Figures 5 and 6.

![FIG. 5. HAXPES spectra of the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ along with the Voigt function fitting of the components for all three Sn sulphide phases.](image-url)

For SnS, in Figure 5a), where the Sn is in the +2 oxidation state, the binding energy of the main peak is 485.6 eV with an additional peak due to oxide contamination at 486.4 eV [59]. The secondary peak is attributed to SnO [59, 60] corresponding to Sn remaining in the same 2+ oxidation state and consistent with the presence of an O 1s peak in the survey spectrum (not shown). The Sn-O component is at higher binding energy than the Sn-S component due to the higher electronegativity of oxygen compared with sulphur.

For SnS$_2$, in Figure 5c), where the Sn is in the +4 oxidation state, the main core level peak is at 486.6 eV. A very weak, secondary peak was also required at 485.7 eV which is thought to be some Sn(II) contamination at the surface. The Raman spectrum did not show any modes that would suggest that SnS$_2$ was present therefore we attribute this to a very small amount of Sn(II) only at the surface.

Comparing the binding energies of the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ components in Figures 5a) and 5b), we see a significant difference in the peaks associated with the two oxidation states of Sn. Thus, two Sn 3d components are expected from the different Sn oxidation states in SnS$_2$S$_3$. While Sn(II) and Sn(IV) components were readily identified in early x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) of tin oxides [61], a more recent report on Sn(II) and Sn(IV) iodide perovskites suggested they are not easily distinguished due to the degree of overlap of their XPS Sn 3d component [62]. From these previous studies, it seems likely that two different Sn 3d components corresponding to the two different Sn oxidation states will be straightforwardly discernible by curve fitting the Sn 3d spectra.

Indeed, in Figure 5b), two contributions to the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ core level spectrum of SnS$_2$S$_3$ are found at binding energies of 485.8 eV and 486.3 eV for Sn(II) and Sn(IV), respectively. This 0.5 eV difference in binding energy of the core levels compared to the 1.0 eV difference between Sn(II) in SnS and Sn(IV) in SnS$_2$ is explained by the fact that the Sn(II) will experience stronger bonding due to the presence of Sn(IV) [23]. The area ratio of the two peaks is 1:1, as expected for the SnS$_2$S$_3$ structure. While this provides yet further evidence of the experimental existence of the SnS$_2$S$_3$ phase, the phase is found to be unstable in DFT for many functionals [42, 63]. The formation energy of SnS$_2$S$_3$ is generally found to be above the tin sulphide convex hull, the bounding surface between the lowest energy phases of each composition. For DFT to find SnS$_2$S$_3$ to be stable requires an appropriate treatment of both dispersion interactions and vibrational contributions to the free energy [42]. With such considerations becoming more routine in DFT studies, SnS$_2$S$_3$ may now achieve greater attention than previously, from both theoretical and experimental perspectives.

While the two Sn environments within SnS$_2$S$_3$ can be resolved, it can be seen in Figure 5b) that the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ line shape is asymmetric at both higher and lower binding energies, suggesting that there are other contributions to the spectrum. Therefore, a very low intensity compo-
ponent at lower binding energy was added to the fit and is thought to be due to unreacted Sn, for which the binding energy is 484.8 eV, in agreement with previous studies [60]. At high binding energy, initially one component was added that was found to be 1 eV higher than the Sn(II) component and 0.5 eV higher than the Sn(IV) component. These values were too high and too low respectively to be the oxide of Sn(II) and Sn(IV), which suggested that this was an average of two oxides, one for each of the Sn oxidation states. Therefore, two oxide peaks were included in the fit, 0.5 eV apart (the same as the two different Sn-sulphide components), one for Sn(II)-oxide and one for the Sn(IV)-oxide environment. The two fitted oxide peaks were both found to be at 0.7 eV higher binding energy than the corresponding Sn-sulphide component. The separation between the sulphide and oxide component binding energies is in agreement with literature [23]. The extent of the oxide contamination of the three different Sn sulphides appears to be correlated with the proportion of Sn(II) in each of the phases, where in SnS the percentage of Sn-O signal is 31 %, 9 % in Sn2S3 and 0 % in SnS2. This indicates that the presence of lone pairs results in the surface of the material being more reactive and therefore more readily contaminated.

The S 2p core level spectra for the three tin sulphide crystals are shown in Figure 6. For all three phases, only one sulphur component is present in the S 2p region with a doublet separation of 1.2 eV and an area ratio of 2:1 between the 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 components. It can be seen that the binding energy increases from SnS to Sn2S3 to SnS2 which follows the trend of increasing VBM to Fermi level shift (discussed below). There is also the chemical shift that could affect this due to the different Sn-S bonding environments in the different tin sulphides. Therefore, the shift is most likely to be a combination of these factors. This component corresponds in each case to the S2− in tin sulphide; no peaks due to contaminants are present.

From analysis of the core level photoemission of these materials, the phases of the Sn sulphides can be successfully identified. However, the most information-rich part of photoemission data lies in the valence band spectra. From the core level analysis and Raman analysis, the phases of the samples have been confirmed and, therefore, the valence band spectra can be compared with the calculated density of states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sn(II) 3d5/2</th>
<th>Sn(IV) 3d5/2</th>
<th>S 2p3/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(FWHM) (eV)</td>
<td>(FWHM) (eV)</td>
<td>(FWHM) (eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>485.6 (0.62)</td>
<td>161.2 (0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn2S3</td>
<td>485.8 (0.70)</td>
<td>486.3 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS2</td>
<td>486.6 (0.67)</td>
<td>161.7 (0.72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 shows the unbroadened calculated density of states in panel a), the measured HAXPES and calculated valence band spectra in panel b) and a magnification in panel c). The experimental data is plotted with respect to the Fermi level at 0 eV and the cross-section corrected and broadened calculated density of states is shifted to align to the data. In general, all three phases show very good agreement between theory and experiment with all spectral features being present in both and the relative intensities match reasonably well. The discrepancies come at higher binding energy, which is expected due to stronger final-state relaxation effects for more strongly bound orbitals [64]. Therefore, the broadening applied at the top of the valence band is insufficient for deeper states, such as those around 8 eV.

It was seen that there was a small contribution from the semi-core Sn 4d states to the valence band DOS of all three of the phases. However, comparing the numerical integrals of the total and orbital-projected Sn and S DOS, which give the electron counts, shows that this contribution is most likely an artefact (full details can be found on page 3 of the SI). Therefore, these orbitals were not included when comparing the theoretical DOS to the experimental DOS. Further to this, the measured Sn 4d semi-core levels were plotted and compared to the calculated (Figure S8) ones. This showed that the experimental core levels are found to be at higher binding energy than in the calculation. This means that the intensity of the d levels in the experimental valence band spectrum is expected to be even smaller than in the calculation, further strengthening the argument to remove the calculated d states for comparison to the experimental valence band DOS.

In order to compare the measured DOS to the calculation, the experimental background was subtracted as the calculated DOS does not take into account the inelastic scattering of photoelectrons that contributes to the background in x-ray photoemission spectroscopy. However, for comparison with Ref. 23 the raw data is plotted.

![FIG. 6. HAXPES spectra of the S 2p region along with the Voigt function fitting of the components for all three Sn-sulphide phases.](image-url)
in Figure S9 in the SI

To provide more detail, each phase will now be discussed individually. Firstly, it is apparent from Figure 7b) (top) that the theoretical density of states for SnS is in good agreement with the experimental valence band spectrum. The features present in the HAXPES measurement are present in the DFT calculation at very similar energies. From the core level analysis in Figure 5a), it is apparent that up to 31% of the measured spectral signal originates from SnO. Hence, supplementary Figure S10 shows the combination of 31% SnO valence band DOS and 69% of SnS valence band DOS. The difference is small between the combined SnO and SnS DOS and the SnS DOS. Therefore, for simplicity only the SnS DOS is used in Figure 7.

Examining the different regions of the spectra in turn to see the different orbital contributions, between 10 and 6 eV consists mainly of Sn 5s and Sn 5p features. There is a large Sn 5s contribution, but also a contribution from S 3p, indicating that the two orbitals are hybridized, as expected. There is also a small hybridization component from the Sn 5p and S 3s. Moving to the middle of the valence band, between 6 and 2 eV, it is seen that the main contribution is from S 3p, Sn 5p and Sn 5s. Further down towards the valence band edge, a strong Sn 5s feature dominates with hybridization with S 3p and Sn 5p states. This s state feature has much greater relative strength than that when soft x-rays are used [23] due to the slower fall off of the photoionization cross-section of s states with photon energy than other orbitals. Thus, the s states are very prominent in these HAXPES measurements.

The centre panel of Figure 7b) displays the theoretical and experimental valence band DOS of Sn₂S₃. Sn₂S₃ exhibits similar hybridization between the Sn 5s and S 3p to SnS and exhibits the signature 5s lone pair at the valence band edge. However, the intensity of the lone pair feature is not as strong as that in SnS. This is because only half of the Sn atoms possess a filled lone pair, i.e. those in the Sn(II) oxidation state and not the Sn(IV) atoms.

The calculated and experimental valence band DOS of SnS₂ are seen in the bottom panel of Figure 7b). Because the electron density is symmetric around the Sn atoms, there is no indication of 3p hybridization in this case due to it being symmetry forbidden. Therefore, the Sn 5s orbitals are unoccupied and thus do not contribute at the valence band edge (0–2 eV) but instead contribute between 10 and 6 eV, similar to in SnS, and in the conduction band. The main contribution to the valence band is the sulphur 3p orbitals, which contribute across the whole valence band. In the middle of the valence band, there are also Sn 5p and S 3p contributions. As mentioned previously, some low-level Sn(II) contamination was found when fitting the Sn 3d₅/₂ core levels; evidence of this is seen in the valence band where there is a small intensity above the valence band maximum at a binding energy of ~1 eV.

In the context of the revised lone pair model [9], for SnS and Sn₂S₃ the Sn 5s orbitals are able to interact with the S p orbitals. This explains the structures seen in Figure 1 as they both possess a void within the structure into which the lone pairs project. As discussed in the introduction, the interaction between these orbitals
is usually forbidden due to the crystal symmetry, but the distortion of the crystal means that the interaction is symmetry allowed.

From this study, it can be seen how effective hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy is for obtaining experimental evidence of ns² lone pair contributions to the valence band density of states. When comparing figures for soft and hard XPS ([23] and figure 7b, respectively), the s state contribution dominates the HAXPES valence band spectrum, especially at the valence band edge, when lone pair s states are present. The lack of a lone pair in SnS₂ can be seen, particularly clearly in Figure 8c), to drastically change the position of the valence band maximum with respect to the other two phases. The energy separations from the VBMs to the Fermi levels were obtained by extrapolating the valence band edge (see Figure S11 of the SI): these values were found to be 0.35, 0.69 and 1.97 eV for SnS, Sn₂S₃, and SnS₂, respectively. The effect of this can be seen to increase the band gap, as is evident from the photoreflectance measurements where the band gap of SnS₂, with no lone pairs, is more than 1 eV greater than that of SnS and Sn₂S₃. A further implication of this is that the band alignment of the tin sulphides is very phase-dependent. The band alignments have been previously measured by Whittles et al. [23], where SnS and Sn₂S₃ were shown to have a significantly higher VBM with respect to the vacuum level than SnS₂.

IV. CONCLUSION

A PR, HAXPES and DFT study of the electronic structure of the different Sn sulphide phases has been presented. The PR measurements reveal the fundamental direct band gaps of three phases to be 1.36, 1.08 and 2.47 eV for SnS, Sn₂S₃ and SnS₂ respectively. In order to investigate the Sn 5s lone pair states that feature prominently at the top of the SnS and Sn₂S₃ valence band, HAXPES valence band measurements and density functional theory were combined. This allowed a clearer elucidation of the Sn 5s lone pair contribution to the electronic structure of these materials than previously obtained using only soft x-ray photoemission. The DFT densities of states show good agreement with the experimental data and confirm the varying nature of the Sn 5s state depending on the electron configurations/oxidation states of the Sn atoms in the different Sn-sulphide phases. These results, along with those in Ref. 23, highlight how effective using a combination of photon energies is for probing ns² lone pairs due to the varying intensity of the relative photoionisation cross sections of s orbitals with respect to those of p and d orbitals.

Additionally, the core level photoemission data presented highlight the potential of photoemission techniques, both XPS and HAXPES, to distinguish the presence or absence of, and relative proportions of, Sn(II) and Sn(IV) species. This is not only relevant to studies of the Sn sulphides, but also to work on other Sn-containing materials including inorganic and hybrid perovskites and CZTS.
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