A promising plasma-catalytic approach towards single-step methane conversion to oxygenates at room temperature
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Abstract

Direct conversion of methane into chemicals and fuels under mild conditions has been considered as a ‘holy grail’ of chemistry and catalysis in the 21st century. Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane (POM) to higher-value liquid fuels and chemicals over supported transition metal catalysts (Ni/γ-Al2O3, Cu/γ-Al2O3 and Fe/γ-Al2O3) has been investigated in a co-axial dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The selectivity of oxygenates was ~58% in the plasma POM reaction without a catalyst, while the combination of DBD with the catalysts enhanced the selectivity of oxygenates to ~71%. Of the three catalysts, Fe/γ-Al2O3 showed the highest methanol selectivity of ~36% and a significant methanol yield of ~5%, while the use of Cu/γ-Al2O3 improved the selectivity of C2 oxygenates to ~9%, which can be attributed to the presence of more acid sites on the surfaces of the Cu catalyst. The possible reaction pathways in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction have been explored by combined means of plasma electrical and optical diagnostics, analysis of gas and liquid products, as well as comprehensive catalyst characterization. The plausible reaction routes for the production of major oxygenate (methanol) on the Fe/γ-Al2O3 surfaces have been proposed. The surface CHx species formed through the direct adsorption from CHx radicals in the plasma gas-phase reactions via E-R mechanism or through the dissociation of adsorbed CH4 on the surface are found to be crucial for methanol formation.
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1. Introduction
Currently, the search for sustainable alternative resources is imperative as the world’s energy consumption is still largely based on fossil fuels, leading to records in CO$_2$ emissions. In this context, the use of methanol as a substitute fuel has drawn much attention due to its renewable nature, high energy density and economic benefits [1]. The main feedstock for the synthesis of methanol is methane, the major component of natural gas with a high calorific value. A significant part of the world’s primary energy consumption relies on its combustion for domestic heating and electrical power generation. However, direct conversion of methane to value-added chemicals and fuels under mild conditions is a ‘holy grail’ in chemistry and remains a challenge given that a large quantity of thermal energy is required to overcome the high stability of the C-H bonds [2].

Currently, the conversion of methane to liquid fuels and chemicals on a commercial scale is a two-step catalytic process. The first step is catalytic steam reforming of methane (SRM) to syngas (a mixture of CO and H$_2$) at high temperatures, while in the second step, syngas is catalytically converted to liquid fuels and chemicals (e.g. methanol) at relatively high temperatures and high pressures. High temperature and high-pressure two-step processes are energy-intensive and costly, limiting the sustainability of the process. Therefore, developing alternative and innovative energy-efficient technologies for direct transformation of methane to liquid chemicals such as partial oxidation of methane (POM) to oxygenates (e.g. methanol, R1) is highly desirable. Although considerable efforts have been made to investigating methane conversion to oxygenates using homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysis, the reported liquid yields (e.g. methanol) are still low and insufficient to compete with that from the conventional two-step process for methanol synthesis [3].

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \quad \Delta H_{298K} = -126.4 \text{ kJ/mol (R1)}
\]
Non-thermal plasma (NTP) has been regarded as a promising and emerging alternative to overcome these challenges, enabling methane activation at low temperatures and ambient pressure. Unlike thermal plasma, in an NTP, the electrical energy is exclusively used to generate highly energetic electrons and reactive species while keeping the gas kinetic temperature low [4]-[7]. A range of chemical reactions occur in NTPs as the molecules are activated by vibrational and electronic excitations which leads to their dissociation. In recent years, NTP has attracted increasing interest for the synthesis of fuels and chemicals via methane activation [8]-[12] and CO₂ conversion [13]-[19]. Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) is one of the most commonly used NTP sources for chemical reactions especially plasma-catalytic process as DBD can be scaled up easily and is suitable for the combination with catalysts [19].

Most studies on plasma-assisted POM reaction focused on the production of syngas [20]-[21], while less attention has been placed on the direct and single-step synthesis of oxygenates (e.g. methanol) via POM. Zhou et al. investigated POM to methanol with oxygen or air using a DBD reactor and found that the formation of H₂O and CO showed a strong negative influence on methanol formation [22]. The optimum methanol selectivity of ~30% was achieved at an oxygen concentration of ~15% in both CH₄/O₂ and CH₄/air mixtures [22]. Nozaki and co-workers developed an NTP microreactor for a single step and room temperature synthesis of oxygenates (methanol, formaldehyde and formic acid) via POM with a one-pass liquid yield of 5-20% and a selectivity of 70-30% [23]. Other oxidants such as CO₂ and N₂O have also been reported for plasma-assisted POM to oxygenates. Zou et al. used CO₂ as a soft oxidant for the plasma oxidation of methane to oxygenates (formaldehyde, methanol, ethanol, formic acid and acetic acid) with a total oxygenate selectivity of up to 41% [24]. Indarto et al. reported a methanol selectivity of 32%
in the plasma POM with NO₂ using a DBD reactor [25]. Subrahmanyam and co-workers also investigated plasma-assisted POM with N₂O for the synthesis of methanol [9][26].

The combination of NTP with a catalyst has great potential to effectively enhance the reaction performance through the plasma-catalyst synergy. Compared to extensive research on plasma-catalytic conversion of methane to gas products (e.g. syngas), very limited attention has been placed on the direct conversion of methane to liquid oxygenates using plasma-catalysis. Chen et al. investigated plasma-catalytic POM over a Cu-promoted iron oxide catalyst using both in-plasma catalysis (IPC) and post-plasma catalysis (PPC). A synergistic effect of plasma catalysis was found with a maximum CH₃OH yield of 1.6% when using the IPC configuration [27]. Indarto et al. showed that the presence of a copper-zinc-alumina (CZA) catalyst doubled the methanol selectivity compared to the plasma POM reaction without a catalyst [28]. The same group found using yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) as a catalyst support led to higher methanol selectivity in the plasma-catalytic POM compared to other supports including Al₂O₃, TiO₂, SiO₂, Carbon and ZSM-13. The highest methanol selectivity (~23%) was achieved when using a Ni/YSZ catalyst, which can be attributed to the change of the reaction mechanism due to the presence of more surface oxygen vacancies on the YSZ surface [29]. Recently, Wang et al. developed a single-step plasma-catalytic process for the direct conversion of CH₄ with CO₂ to a range of liquid fuels and chemicals (e.g. acetic acid, methanol, ethanol, acetone and formaldehyde) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The synergistic effect of plasma and Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ significantly increased the total selectivity of oxygenates to 50-60%, with acetic acid being the major liquid product with a selectivity of 40.2% [30]. Li et al. also investigated the direct conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ into liquid chemicals (mainly methanol and acetic acid) using SiO₂ aerogel supported Co and Fe catalysts in a DBD reactor and reported a total oxygenate selectivity of 40% [31]. Up until now,
the reported selectivities and yields of liquid chemicals in the plasma-catalytic POM process remain low due to the limited knowledge of selecting and designing cost-effective, highly active and stable catalysts that are effective in plasma-catalytic oxidation of methane to oxygenates. A better fundamental understanding of the plasma-catalysts interactions is essential for the development of optimized catalysts in the selective synthesis of oxygenates.

Catalysts effective in similar thermal reactions are often used as a starting point of plasma-catalytic reactions. Cu-based catalysts have been extensively investigated in catalytic methane oxidation due to high activity of Cu towards methanol synthesis [32]. Fe-based catalysts have also received considerable attention for the catalytic oxidation of methane to oxygenates [33]. Ni is the most widely used metal catalyst due to its availability and low cost. Supported Ni catalysts have been extensively evaluated for catalytic methane activation to value-added fuels and chemicals. Compared to thermal catalytic POM to oxygenates, only a few catalysts have been evaluated in the direct POM to oxygenates using plasma catalysis. To the best of our knowledge, the effect of different cost-effective supported transition metal catalysts on the plasma-catalytic oxidation of methane to oxygenates has not been explored yet. A fundamental understanding of the catalyst properties in the low-temperature plasma-catalytic oxidation of methane to oxygenates is still very limited. Therefore, it is important to get new insights into the plasma-catalyst interactions in the direct oxidation of methane to liquid fuels and chemicals under mild conditions.

In this study, plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane to liquid oxygenates has been carried out at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure using a co-axial DBD plasma reactor. The effect of $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ supported metal oxide catalysts (Ni, Cu and Fe) on the plasma-catalytic POM has been investigated in terms of the conversion of methane, the selectivity of gas products and oxygenates and the energy efficiency of the process. A comprehensive catalyst characterization
has been performed to establish the links between the catalyst properties and the reaction performance. Optical emission spectroscopic (OES) diagnostics has been employed to understand the formation of reactive species in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction. The plausible reaction pathways in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction have been proposed and discussed.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Experimental setup

Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental setup. A quartz tube with an inner diameter of 20 mm and an outer diameter of 23 mm was used as a dielectric for the DBD reactor. A stainless steel (SS) rod with an outer diameter of 9 mm was placed along the axis of the quartz tube as a high voltage electrode, while a 9-cm long SS mesh was wrapped around the quartz tube and served as a ground electrode. The discharge gap was kept at 5.5 mm with a discharge length of 7 cm in the experiments. The DBD reactor was connected to an alternating current (AC) high voltage power generator with a variable peak voltage of 14-22 kV at a fixed frequency of 50 Hz. A mixture of CH$_4$ and O$_2$ was supplied to the reactor at a constant flow rate of 30 ml min$^{-1}$ with a CH$_4$/O$_2$ molar ratio of 5:1. In the plasma-catalytic reaction, 1.5 g catalyst was fully packed inside the discharge gap, and the volume of the catalyst bed was around 15 ml. An Agilent 34136A high voltage probe was connected to the inner electrode to measure the applied voltage, while a four-channel digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS2014B) was used to record the electrical signals. The discharge power was determined using the typical Q-U Lissajous figure method. As the discharge power was quite low (1.8 W) and constant throughout the experiment, the reaction temperature of the plasma process can be maintained at room temperature and was almost the same when using different catalysts. The gaseous products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2014) equipped with a packed column (HayeSep A, 80/100 mesh, 3 m) and a thermal
conductivity detector (TCD). The liquid products were condensed and collected in an ice-cold trap placed at the exit of the DBD reactor. The liquid oxygenates were qualitatively analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Thermo Scientific Trace GC Ultra) and quantitatively analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Thermo Scientific Trace GC Ultra) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) with a DB-WAX column. An infrared CO\textsubscript{x} analyzer (Fuji Electric, Japan) was used to monitor the formation of CO and CO\textsubscript{2} in the reaction. The measurements started after running the reaction for 1.5 h. To evaluate the reaction performance, the concentration of the liquid products in the condensate was calculated using the corresponding formula of the standard calibrated concentration curve (see Table S1 in Supplementary Materials). The emission spectra of the discharge were recorded by an emission spectrometer (Princeton Instruments, Acton SpectraPro SP-2300) in the range of 200 - 800 nm, using an optical fiber placed close to the SS mesh (ground electrode) of the DBD reactor.

![Fig.1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.](image-url)
In this work, the conversion of CH$_4$ and the selectivity of the gaseous products (CO$_x$, C$_2$H$_6$ and H$_2$) are calculated as follows:

\[
CH_4 \text{ conversion (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of moles of CH}_4 \text{ converted}}{\text{Number of moles of CH}_4 \text{ input}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Selectivity of CO}_x (\%) = \frac{\text{Number of moles of CO}_x \text{ produced}}{\text{Number of moles of CH}_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Selectivity of C}_2\text{H}_6 (\%) = \frac{2 \times \text{Number of moles of C}_2\text{H}_6 \text{ produced}}{\text{Number of moles of CH}_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Selectivity of H}_2 (\%) = \frac{\text{Number of moles of H}_2 \text{ produced}}{2 \times \text{Number of moles of CH}_4 \text{ converted}} \times 100 \quad (4)
\]

The total selectivity of oxygenates is defined as:

\[
\text{Total selectivity of oxygenates (\%)} = 100 - (S_{CO} + S_{CO_2} + S_{C_2H_6}) \quad (5)
\]

Where $S_{CO}$, $S_{CO_2}$ and $S_{C_2H_6}$ are the selectivity of CO, CO$_2$ and C$_2$H$_6$, respectively.

The selectivity of oxygenate i can be determined as

\[
S_i (\%) = \frac{n_i c_i}{\Sigma n_i c_i} \times Eq \quad (6)
\]

Where $n_i$ is the number of carbon moles of the oxygenate i, $C_i$ is the concentration of the oxygenate i.

The yield of the products is given by:

\[
\text{Yield (\%)} = \text{Selectivity} \times CH_4 \text{ conversion (\%)} \quad (7)
\]

The energy efficiency for the conversion of methane is determined by:
Energy efficiency for CH₄ conversion (mmol/kJ)

\[
\frac{CH_4 \text{ converted (mmol/min)}}{\text{Discharge power (W)}} \times \frac{1000}{60}
\]

2.2. Catalyst preparation

All the catalysts were synthesized by the incipient wetness impregnation method. The metal precursor solutions were prepared by dissolving each metal salt (Cu(NO₃)₂·xH₂O; Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O or Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) in an amount of water just sufficient to fill the pores of the γ-Al₂O₃ support. The γ-Al₂O₃ was first calcined at 400 °C for 5 h to remove all the impurities (e.g., adsorbed H₂O), then it was added to the precursor solution and stirred until thoroughly mixed. The resulting mixture was kept at room temperature for 3 h and then dried overnight at 80 °C. Finally, the dried sample was calcined at 500 °C for 4 h and then sieved to a particle diameter of 40 - 60 mesh. The metal (Cu, Fe or Ni) loading of the prepared catalysts was ~ 9 wt.%.

2.3. Catalyst characterization

The composition of the prepared catalysts was confirmed through powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) by using an X-ray diffractometer (Panalytical X’PERT PRO) with a Cu Kα radiation source (\( \lambda = 1.541 \) Å) at a scan rate of 0.01670° s⁻¹. The physisorption properties of the catalysts were determined using N₂ adsorption/desorption measurements on an automated gas sorption analyzer (NOVA2200e, Quantachrome, USA) at a constant liquid nitrogen temperature of 77 K. Prior to the analysis, all the samples were pre-treated by degassing at 300 °C for 3 h under vacuum. Temperature programmed reduction of hydrogen (H₂-TPR) and temperature-programmed desorption of NH₃ (NH₃-TPD) and CO₂ (CO₂-TPD) experiments were carried out using a chemisorption apparatus (MicrotracBEL Corp.) equipped with a TCD detector. For the H₂-TPR analysis, the sample (~80 mg) was pre-treated in a He flow at 300 °C for 50 min and then cooled.
to room temperature under flowing Ar. The TPR profile was recorded when heating the sample to the target temperature of 900 °C at a constant ramping rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) in a 5 vol.% H\(_2\)/Ar gas flow. The reduction signal obtained was compared to the pre-calibrated signal for quantitative analysis. To investigate the acidic properties of the catalysts, NH\(_3\)-TPD experiments were carried out. After the pre-treatment of the samples at 500 °C for 1 h under flowing He, the sample (~80 mg) was cooled to 100 °C followed by adsorption of NH\(_3\) in a flow of 5 vol.% NH\(_3\)/He at 100 °C. The desorption process was performed upon the heating of the sample to the target temperature of 500 °C at a constant heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under a He flow of 30 ml min\(^{-1}\). The basic properties of the catalysts were examined using CO\(_2\)-TPD analysis. Prior to the measurement, the sample (~80 mg) was pre-treated under a He flow for 1 h at 300 °C followed by a cooling process to 100 °C and the simultaneous adsorption of CO\(_2\) in a 10 vol.% CO\(_2\)/He mixture gas at the same temperature. Then, the sample temperature was raised to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under a He flow of 30 ml min\(^{-1}\) to allow the desorption to proceed. The CH\(_4\)-TPD experiment was performed in the same way with He pre-treatment followed by the adsorption of 10 vol.% CH\(_4\)/He mixture gas. The desorption process occurred after the sample temperature reached 800 °C at a constant He flow of 50 ml min\(^{-1}\). The morphologies of the catalysts were characterized by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) measurements using a JEOL-2100 TEM model operated at 200 kV. The thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the fresh and spent catalysts was performed using an SDT Q600 instrument (TA Instruments, USA). The TGA analysis was recorded in an O\(_2\) atmosphere heated to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). The metal loading of the prepared catalysts was determined by using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analysis with a Prodigy high dispersion ICP (Teledyne Instruments, USA).
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

3.1.1. XRD analysis

Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of the fresh catalysts. All the catalysts show three major diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 37.7^\circ$, 45.9$^\circ$ and 67.0$^\circ$ corresponding to the (311), (400) and (440) planes of the cubic structure of crystalline $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS reference No. 00-010-0425). The XRD pattern of the Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst exhibits some distinctive characteristic peaks at $2\theta = 32.5^\circ$, 35.5$^\circ$, 38.6$^\circ$, 48.7$^\circ$, 58.3$^\circ$, 61.6$^\circ$ and 75.2$^\circ$ with corresponding d-spacing values of 0.274 nm, 0.252 nm, 0.232 nm, 0.186 nm, 0.158 nm, 0.150 nm and 0.126 nm, which can be indexed to the (110), (-111), (111), (-202), (202), (-113) and (-222) planes of the monoclinic CuO crystalline phase, respectively, according to the standard JCPDS file No. 89-5898. In addition to the formation of the CuO crystallite, some characteristic peaks at $2\theta = 19.3^\circ$ and 27.7$^\circ$ corresponding to the (200) and (220) planes of Cu$_2$O evidence the presence of two oxidation states of copper, as confirmed by the JCPDS No. 34-1354. The average crystallite size of CuO is 9.6 nm, calculated using the Scherrer’s equation to the (111) plane. The XRD pattern of Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ shows multiple peaks at $2\theta = 24.1^\circ$, 33.1$^\circ$, 35.6$^\circ$, 40.8$^\circ$, 49.4$^\circ$, 54$^\circ$, 57.6$^\circ$, 62.4$^\circ$, 63.9$^\circ$, 72.3$^\circ$, 75.4$^\circ$, 84.9$^\circ$ and 88.7$^\circ$ corresponding to the respective planes of (012), (104), (110), (113), (024), (116), (018), (214), (300), (119), (220), (134) and (226), confirming the formation of a rhombohedral Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase on the $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ support, as described in the JCPDS file No. 89-2810. Using the same method, the average crystallite size of Fe$_2$O$_3$ is 15.4 nm, determined from the high-intensity peak of the (104) plane. The diffraction peaks for the Ni/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst at $2\theta = 43.3^\circ$ and 62.9$^\circ$, corresponding to an interplanar distance of 0.208 nm and 0.147 nm, can be attributed to the (200) and (220) planes of the cubic crystal structure of NiO (JCPDS No. 04-0835). Therefore, the XRD patterns of the catalysts confirm the
formation of metal oxide crystallites on the $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ supports, as expected after the catalyst calcination.

![XRD patterns of the fresh catalysts](image)

**Fig.2.** XRD patterns of the fresh catalysts.

### 3.1.2. Physisorption properties of the catalysts

The results of the N$_2$ adsorption-desorption analysis on the synthesized catalysts are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen that the Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst shows a higher specific surface area in comparison to the other two catalysts, while they do not differ much in average pore diameters and average pore volumes. These results are in accordance with the observation of the adsorption-desorption isotherms plotted in Fig. 3. All the catalysts exhibit a typical Type-V isotherm with an H3-type hysteresis loop at a relative pressure $P/P_0$ of 0.4 to 0.9, which indicates the presence of
mesopores in the catalysts. The lower specific surface area of the Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst can be ascribed to the larger size of the Fe₂O₃ particles formed on the γ-Al₂O₃ surface (Fig. S1).

Table 1. Physio-chemical properties of the fresh catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Sₐ (m² g⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>Vₐ (cc g⁻¹)ᵇ</th>
<th>Dₐ (nm)ᵇ</th>
<th>Metal content (%)ᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ The specific surface area of the catalysts was calculated from the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method.

ᵇ The average pore volumes and pore diameters of the catalysts were measured from the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method.

c The metal loading on γ-Al₂O₃ support was determined using ICP-OES.
3.1.3. H$_2$-TPR analysis

H$_2$-TPR analysis of the catalysts was carried out to investigate the metal-support interactions and the redox properties of the catalysts. As seen in Fig. 4, several peaks of H$_2$ consumption arise at different temperatures for each catalyst. These peaks are linked to the different oxidation states of the metals and thus demonstrate the strong interaction that exists between the metals and the supports. The first reduction peak of the Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst at 225 °C with an H$_2$-consumption of 0.578 mmol g$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the stepwise reduction of surface dispersed CuO nanoparticles (to Cu$^0$ state) and the partial reduction of surface interacted Cu$^{+2}$ (to Cu$^{+}$); while the 2$^{nd}$ peak is associated with the reduction of part of the supported Cu$^+$ and the bulk crystalline CuO with a total H$_2$-consumption of 0.321 mmol g$^{-1}$ [34][35]. The total H$_2$-consumption for the Ni-based catalyst was 1.388 mmol g$^{-1}$. The reducible NiO species of the Ni/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst can be classified into four types, including low temperature (up to 400 °C) peaks $\alpha$, medium temperature peaks $\beta$ (400 - 500 °C), high temperature peaks $\gamma_1$ (540 - 700 °C) and very high temperature peaks $\gamma_2$ (>750 °C)

![Fig. 3. (a) N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the fresh catalysts. (b) Pore size distribution of the fresh catalysts.](image-url)
[36]. Each set of peaks corresponds to different states of NiO species. The peaks in the low temperature range (up to 400 °C) can be assigned to α-type NiO species, which are attributed to the reduction of free NiO dispersed on the surface of the γ-Al₂O₃ support and having no or very limited interaction with the support. The medium temperature peak (400 -500 °C) represents β-type NiO species, which have a stronger interaction with the γ-Al₂O₃ support than the α-type NiO [36]. The high temperature peaks (540 - 700 °C) were assigned to γ₁-type NiO species, which are a stable nickel aluminum phase. The very high temperature peak γ₂-type at >750°C is assigned to the reduction of a diluted NiAl₂O₄-like phase, formed through the diffusion of nickel ions into the γ-Al₂O₃ support [36]. There are two distinct reduction temperature zones appearing in the TPR profile of the Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst which occurred through the stepwise reduction of Fe₂O₃ → Fe via Fe₃O₄ with a total H₂-uptake of 0.879 mmol g⁻¹. The first broad peak located in the temperature range from 250 to 500 °C is ascribed to the stepwise reduction of the iron species Fe₂O₃ → Fe₃O₄ → FeO. The peak at 440 °C typically represents the Fe₃O₄ → FeO transformation [37][38]. The latter peaks in the temperature region from 580 to 800 °C can be ascribed to the reduction of Fe₃O₄ → metallic Fe via the formation of FeO [39]. Generally, a lower onset reduction temperature of the catalyst results from the formation of larger metal oxide crystallites on the catalyst surface [40]. The strong metal-support interaction promotes the distribution of the metal oxide species on the catalyst, which affects the catalyst characteristics [41].
3.1.4. NH$_3$-TPD analysis

The acidic nature of the catalysts was evaluated by the NH$_3$-TPD experiment in which NH$_3$ was adsorbed on the catalyst surface at low temperature owing to the acidic character of the catalyst, and then desorbed at higher temperatures. The TPD profiles of the prepared catalysts slightly differ from each other in the temperature zone (110 - 440 °C) of the NH$_3$ desorption, as suggested by Fig. 5. It can be seen that both the Ni/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts show a strong, wide peak of NH$_3$ desorption in the region of 110 to 440 °C, while two consecutive peaks of desorption centered at 197 °C and 282 °C are exhibited by the Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst in the same temperature range. Hence, the catalysts can be ordered according to their acidity in the sequence: Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Ni/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, in agreement with the results shown in Table S2.
Fig. 5. NH$_3$-TPD profiles of the fresh catalysts.

3.1.5. CO$_2$-TPD analysis

Fig. 6 presents the CO$_2$-TPD profiles of the synthesized catalysts when CO$_2$ was adsorbed at 100 °C and underwent the desorption process at high temperature, up to 800 °C. The desorption profiles reveal three types of CO$_2$ desorption peaks in the temperature ranges of 110-317 °C, 320-440 °C and 450-770 °C corresponding to weak, moderate and strong basic sites of the catalysts, respectively. From the amounts of CO$_2$ desorbed listed in Table S3, it can be deduced that the sequence in terms of their basicity is as follows: Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Ni/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, which corroborates the above acidity order. All the catalysts possess basic sites which are dense and weak in the lower temperature range, strong and broad in the higher temperature region and moderate in the mid-temperature range. The lower temperature peak results from the formation of bicarbonate species through the reaction of CO$_2$ with surface -OH groups, while the formation of bidentate and monodentate carbonate species generated through metal-oxygen pairings are responsible for the
higher temperature peaks [42]. Among the weak and moderate basic sites of the catalysts, those of Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ shows the highest amount of CO₂ adsorbed (through the reaction with surface –OH groups), while Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ holds the strongest basic site at high temperature (Table S3).

![CO₂-TPD profiles of the fresh catalysts](image)

**Fig. 6.** CO₂-TPD profiles of the fresh catalysts.

### 3.1.6. Morphology analysis of the catalysts

Fig. 7 shows the HRTEM images of the prepared catalysts. The γ-Al₂O₃ support has a fibrous structure, which is supposed to allow a homogenous distribution of the metal oxide particles. Though the changes in the shape of the metal oxide particles are not visible, it is possible to distinguish dark spots over the γ-Al₂O₃ support, which are irregular in size and shape and differ for the three catalysts (Fig. 7a, d and g). From the particle distribution curves shown in Fig. S1, the average size of metal oxide in the Ni, Cu and Fe catalysts is 10.3, 9.9 and 13.0 nm, respectively, which is consistent with the XRD results. The HRTEM image of Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ shows perfect lattice
fringes of 0.208 nm (Fig. 7b) corresponding to the (202) plane of the NiO crystallite, while the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern analysis confirms the high crystallinity of the NiO catalyst (Fig. 7c). Moreover, the lattice planes obtained from the SAED pattern (Fig. 7c) correspond well with the XRD results. The interplanar distance between the CuO lattice fringes was calculated to be 0.232 nm (Fig. 7e), corresponding to the (111) plane of the monoclinic CuO phase. This result is supported by the SAED pattern analysis (Fig. 7f). The fuzzy fringes formed by the Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles, obtained from the lattice-scale image of the Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, present an interfringe distance of 0.252 nm which originates from the crystalline (110) plane (Fig. 7h). The SAED pattern confirms the formation of the rhombohedral phase of Fe$_2$O$_3$ with the presence of reflection planes in the SAED pattern (Fig. 7i).
Fig. 7. HRTEM images of the fresh catalysts, (a) Ni/γ-Al₂O₃; (d) Cu/γ-Al₂O₃; (g) Fe/γ-Al₂O₃; Lattice-scale images of the catalysts (b) Ni/γ-Al₂O₃; (e) Cu/γ-Al₂O₃; (h) Fe/γ-Al₂O₃; SAED patterns of (c) NiO; (e) CuO; (i) Fe₂O₃.
3.2. Effect of catalysts on the discharge characteristics

The plasma discharge properties are determined from the Lissajous figure (Fig. 8a) and listed in Table 2. There is a notable difference in the discharge parameters when the plasma operates with or without a catalyst. Although the parameters do not vary much when they are compared among the catalysts themselves, introducing metal loading on $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ enhanced the average electric field compared to the DBD packed with $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$. As a consequence, the reaction performance is also influenced. At a constant discharge power of 1.8 W, the combination of plasma with the Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst reduced the breakdown voltage and hence allowed the reaction to effectively initiate with the observation of the reactant decay. As dielectric materials effectively accumulate charges on their surface, the metal oxide loaded $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts act in the same way, therefore improving the charge transfer between the electrodes. The methods to calculate the discharge parameters are given in the Supplementary Material (Section S5 & Fig. S2). Compared to the plasma reaction without packing, the presence of $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ in the DBD slightly increased the average electric field from 7.8 kV cm$^{-1}$ (plasma only) to 8.2 kV cm$^{-1}$, while the coupling of DBD with Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ further increased the average electric field to 8.7 kV cm$^{-1}$. An improvement in the reduced electric field was also observed when placing support or Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ in the DBD, which follows the order: plasma + Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ > plasma + $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ > plasma only. This finding can be attributed to the increase of charge deposition allowed by the dielectric catalyst materials. Indeed, the enhancement of the charge transfer is due to the increased strength of the local electrical field at the contact points between the packed catalysts and the catalyst-dielectric wall. The mean electron energy and the electron energy distribution function (EEDF) were calculated using the Boltzmann equation solver software BOLSIG+ [43]. The mean electron energy of the discharge followed the order: plasma only < plasma + $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ < plasma + Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$, which correlates well with the order of
the reduced electric field. Fig. 8b shows the variation of the mean electron energy as a function of the reduced electric field (E/N) for both the plasma-only and the plasma-catalytic processes, as plotted in Fig. 8c. We can observe that the catalyst addition in the discharge zone results in the generation of more energetic electrons with higher energy (Fig. 8c).

The rate coefficients for the most common gas-phase electron-impact reactions in the CH₄ - O₂ plasma system were also studied using BOLSIG+. As shown in Fig. S3, the rate coefficients of the reactions increase with increasing E/N values. A series of gas-phase vibrational excitation and dissociation reactions are considered and listed in Table S4. As expected, in the DBD packed with Fe/γ-Al₂O₃, the increase of the reactions rates with the reduced electric field is even more pronounced in comparison to the plasma reaction with no packing. This finding suggests that the increase of E/N and mean electron energy positively affects the generation of highly reactive species (e.g., radicals) through a series of electron-impact reactions which can effectively speed up the reactions to the desired products.

**Table 2.** Effect of γ-Al₂O₃ and Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ on the characteristics of the discharge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma mode</th>
<th>Uₜ</th>
<th>Qₚk-pk</th>
<th>dQ</th>
<th>Cₜcell</th>
<th>Cₜ</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>E/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(kV)</td>
<td>(µC)</td>
<td>(µC)</td>
<td>(µF)</td>
<td>(µF)</td>
<td>(kV/cm)</td>
<td>(Td)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma only</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma + γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Combination</td>
<td>U_b (kV)</td>
<td>Q_{pk-pk}</td>
<td>dQ</td>
<td>C_{cell}</td>
<td>C_d</td>
<td>E (kV/mm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma + Ni/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma + Cu/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma + Fe/γ-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U_b = Breakdown voltage

Q_{pk-pk} = Peak to peak charge

dQ = Charge transfer per half cycle

C_{cell} = Cell capacitance

C_d = Dielectric capacitance

E = Average electric field
Fig. 8. (a) Lissajous figures of the discharge; (b) Calculated mean electron energy versus E/N; (c) Variation of EEDF as a function of the mean electron energy.
3.3. Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane

Fig. 9 shows the effect of the catalysts and support on the selectivity of gaseous and liquid products in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction. In the plasma reaction without a catalyst (Plasma only), H₂ was the major gaseous product with a selectivity of 33%, followed by C₂ hydrocarbons with a selectivity of 17%. A very low amount of CO₂ was detected, as expected in the partial oxidation of methane. In the absence of a catalyst, HCHO, CH₃OH and HCOOH were found to be the dominant oxygenates in the liquid products with a selectivity of 10%, 20% and 25%, respectively. Clearly, the combination of DBD with the catalysts affects both the conversion of CH₄ and the selectivity of the products. Compared to the reaction using plasma only (Fig. 9), adding γ-Al₂O₃ to the DBD slightly increased the conversion of methane and energy efficiency, but did not substantially enhance the selectivity of oxygenates (especially for methanol, formaldehyde and formic acid). This result can be explained by the limited catalytic selectivity of γ-Al₂O₃. Interestingly, the coupling of DBD with metal oxide catalysts decreased the total selectivity of the gas products compared to the reaction using plasma only or plasma packed with γ-Al₂O₃ (Fig. 9b), but increased the total selectivity of oxygenates (Fig. 9c). For example, using Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ significantly reduced the selectivity of C₂H₆ from 17% (plasma only) to 10% but substantially enhanced the selectivity of C₂ oxygenates (9.4%). Despite relatively low changes in the CH₄ conversion among the three catalysts, the presence of these catalysts in the discharge enhanced the CH₄ conversion and energy efficiency by 70 to 85% in comparison to the plasma POM reaction without a catalyst (Fig. 9a, 9b and 9d). The enhanced performances in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction in terms of the CH₄ conversion and energy efficiency can be partly attributed to the change of the discharge characteristics induced by the catalysts (Table 2). Packing Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ into the DBD showed the highest CH₄ conversion (13%) with a maximum total liquid
selectivity of ~71%. Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ showed the highest selectivity of CH₃OH (36%) and HCHO (14.2%), followed by Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ and Ni/γ-Al₂O₃. Interestingly, compared to Cu/γ-Al₂O₃, Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ had a higher methanol selectivity but a lower selectivity towards formic acid. It is worth noting that the presence of Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ in the discharge improved the production of C₂ and C₃ long-chain oxygenates, resulting in relatively high selectivities towards CH₃COOH, C₂H₅OH and CH₃COCH₃ with a maximum CH₃COOH selectivity of ~7% (Fig. 9c). The generation of carbon-containing gaseous products (e.g., CO and C₂H₆) was closely correlated to the production of liquid products in the plasma-catalytic POM reaction. As shown in Fig. 9b, the gas selectivity for these catalysts follows the order: Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ < Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ < Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ < γ-Al₂O₃ < plasma only, in line with the opposite trend observed in the selectivity of the liquid products. Fig. S4 shows the effect of these catalysts on the yield of the gaseous and liquid products. A maximum H₂ yield of 3% was attained when using the Ni and Cu catalysts. The Fe catalyst showed the highest CH₃OH yield of ~5%, followed by Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ (3.6%), Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ (3%) and γ-Al₂O₃ (1.7%), while the highest acetic acid yield was achieved over Cu/γ-Al₂O₃. Interestingly, packing pure γ-Al₂O₃ in the DBD produced more CO₂ with the highest CO₂ yield of 0.8%. 
**Fig. 9.** Results obtained with the plasma-catalytic system compared to those with plasma only and Plasma + γ-Al₂O₃. (a) CH₄ conversion; (b) Selectivity of the gaseous products; (c) Distribution of the liquid products (FA - Formic acid (HCOOH), M - Methanol (CH₃OH), F - Formaldehyde (HCHO), E - Ethanol (C₂H₅OH), AA - Acetic acid (CH₃COOH), A - Acetone (CH₃COCH₃)); (d) Energy efficiency for CH₄ conversion (CH₄/O₂ = 5:1; Total flow rate: 30 ml min⁻¹; Power = 1.8 W; Frequency: 50 Hz).

In addition to the physical characteristics of the discharge induced by the catalyst packing, the physio-chemical properties of the catalysts also play an important role in determining the reaction performance of the plasma-catalytic POM reaction. It has been reported that transition metals (e.g. Ni, Cu, Rh) and metal complexes can strongly adsorb CH₄ and dissociate the first C-H bond with
a low energy barrier [44]. Also, it is well known that the dissociative chemisorption of CH$_4$ is highly dependent on the strong affinity of the metal surface to CH$_4$ [44]. Hence, the dissociative adsorption of CH$_4$ on the catalyst surface is likely to occur more easily if the metal-carbon (M-C) bond between metal oxide and CH$_4$ is strong [45]. The interaction between CH$_4$ and the catalyst surfaces was evaluated using CH$_4$-TPD analysis (Fig. S5). The onset desorption temperature ($T_{\text{onset}}$) followed the order of Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (331 °C) > Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (314 °C) > Ni/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (290 °C) > γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (287 °C). Therefore, the M-C bond strength for the catalysts (including γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ support) decreases as listed: Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > Ni/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ > γ-Al$_2$O$_3$. As CH$_4$ strongly binds to the metal oxide surface of the catalyst, its retention time in the plasma discharge zone increases, which maximize the probability for the plasma-catalytic dissociation of methane to occur. Hence, the better performance of Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ in terms of the conversion of methane and liquid selectivity can be partly contributed to the stronger M-C bond compared to that of the other catalysts. Apart from that, the selectivity of oxygenates is also affected by the acid-basic properties of the catalysts. Kalamaras et al. found that the strength and concentration of acid sites on the catalyst surfaces have a strong influence on the distribution of oxygenates in the catalytic POM reaction [33]. Han et al. reported that catalysts with more acid sites produced a higher yield of C$_2$+ liquids in the POM reaction [46]. In this study, the Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst generated more methanol, but less formic acid and C$_2$+ oxygenates (especially acetic acid) compared to the Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Higher selectivity of C$_2$+ oxygenates obtained using Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ can be attributed to the presence of more acid sites on the surface of the Cu/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. In addition, Raham et al. highlighted that methanol could be an intermediate in the formation of formic acid in the catalytic POM to methanol [47]. Thus, over-oxidation of methanol to formic acid on the Cu catalyst surfaces could be the reason for the
decreased methanol selectivity with increased selectivity of formic acid in the plasma-catalytic POM over the Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst in comparison to Fe/γ-Al₂O₃.

3.4. Catalyst stability

To investigate the catalyst stability, the plasma-catalytic POM reaction over the Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst was carried out at room temperature and atmospheric pressure for 10 h at a constant CH₄/O₂ molar ratio of 5:1 and a fixed power of 1.8 W. Fig. 10 shows that the conversion of methane and the selectivity of gaseous products are quite stable over the 10 h reaction. However, the selectivity of CH₃COOH and HCOOH slightly increased after 6 h at the compensation of the decreased methanol selectivity, which can be attributed to the oxidation of methanol to formic and acetic acid via the intermediate acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO).
The XRD and physisorption characterization of the spent Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst after the reaction shows that the properties of the Fe catalyst were almost unchanged before and after the reaction (Fig. 11), which further confirms the stability of the Fe catalyst during the plasma-catalytic POM reaction. Moreover, the TGA analysis of the fresh and spent catalysts (Fig. 11d) shows a weight drop at < 200 °C, which can be ascribed to the loss of moisture on the catalyst surface. There is no significant difference in the weight loss of the Fe catalyst before and after the reaction, suggesting the absence of carbon deposition on the surface of the Fe catalyst.
Fig. 11. Characterization of the spent Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst after running the reaction for 10 h. (a) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms; (b) pore size distribution; (c) XRD profiles; (d) TGA profiles.

3.4. Reaction pathways

The plasma-catalytic POM reaction involves both plasma gas-phase reactions and plasma-assisted surface reactions on the catalysts. In the plasma gas phase, the reactions are initiated through a variety of inelastic collisions between the reactants (CH₄ and O₂) and energetic electrons, generating a cascade of reactive species including radicals (e.g. CHₓ and O) and excited species, which are believed to play a crucial role in the production of oxygenates. The emission
spectroscopic diagnostics (Fig. S6a) confirms the formation of a variety of reactive species in the plasma-assisted POM reaction. The emission spectrum of the CH₄+O₂ DBD shows a strong O atomic line (777.7 nm), Hα atomic line (656.6 nm) and molecular bands including CH (314 nm and 431 nm), OH (309 nm), H₂ (627-637 nm), CO (in the Angström range), CO₂ (391 nm) and C₂ (516.2 nm).

Fig. 12 illustrates the plausible reaction pathways in the plasma gas-phase reactions. The electron impact dissociation of CH₄ is considered as the major route for the initial decomposition of CH₄, resulting in the formation of CHₓ (x=1, 2, 3) radicals [48]. Similarly, the electron impact dissociation of O₂ produced O atoms. Plasma chemical kinetic modeling of methane conversion showed that the R2 contributes to 79% of the total electron impact dissociation of CH₄, while R3 and R4 only account for 15% and 5%, respectively [48], which suggests that CH₃ radicals are the dominant and critical species in this reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4 + e & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + H + e \quad (\text{R2}) \\
\text{CH}_4 + e & \rightarrow \text{CH}_2 + H_2 + e \quad (\text{R3}) \\
\text{CH}_4 + e & \rightarrow \text{CH} + H + H_2 + e \quad (\text{R4})
\end{align*}
\]

The CH₄ dissociation with O atoms also contributes to the formation of CHₓ species with CH₃ being the dominant radical. CH₃ radicals can recombine with themselves to form hydrocarbons (e.g., C₂H₆), or further react with energetic electrons or O radicals to produce CH₂, CH and C. The formed H atoms in the dissociation of CHₓ (x=1-4) could recombine to produce H₂, one of the
main products in the gas phase. De Bie et al. reported that one of the most important routes for O\textsubscript{2} consumption in addition to the electron impact dissociation of O\textsubscript{2}, is the three-body reaction of O\textsubscript{2} with O, H and CH\textsubscript{3} radicals (where the third-body can be CH\textsubscript{4}, O\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}O). O radicals can react with CH\textsubscript{3} and H to form CH\textsubscript{3}O and OH, respectively, both of which are critical intermediates in the formation of CH\textsubscript{3}OH. Other intermediates, including CH\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}O, CHO and HO\textsubscript{2} can also be formed [48]. The modeling results showed that the density of the radicals follows the order of CH\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{2} > O > CH\textsubscript{3}O > OH > CHO > CH\textsubscript{2}OH in a 20 vol.% O\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} DBD plasma and the formation of CH\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{2} could be more favorable through the three-body reaction with CH\textsubscript{3} and O radicals [48].

In addition, Goujard et al. reported that even if the formation of gaseous products (e.g. C\textsubscript{2+} hydrocarbons) via the recombination of primary radicals (e.g. CH\textsubscript{3}, x=1-3) is fast, such reactions remain limited due to the immediate formation of oxygenated intermediates (e.g. CH\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{2}) [49]. Hence, the formation of key intermediates (e.g. CH\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{3}O, CH\textsubscript{2}O) is critical in the production of liquid oxygenates, such as CH\textsubscript{3}OH and HCOOH. More C\textsubscript{2} oxygenates can be formed through the C-C coupling following the further reaction routes. Besides, CO and CO\textsubscript{2} could be produced via both oxidation of C species and de-hydrogenation of CH\textsubscript{3}O.
Since the coupling of DBD with the catalysts significantly affects the CH₄ conversion as well as the distribution of the products (e.g., oxygenates), there must be an additional governing mechanism behind the gas-phase reactions taking place at the surface of the catalysts. Previous reports by Nozaki et al. showed that the enhanced dissociative chemisorption of vibrationally excited methane species on catalyst surfaces (Ni surface) could be the major reason behind improved methane conversion in plasma-catalytic steam reforming of methane [50]. To ascertain this, emission spectroscopic diagnostics were performed for both the plasma-only and the plasma-catalytic systems. As seen in Fig. S6b, the addition of the Fe catalyst remarkably changes the emission spectrum of the discharge. The intensity of the main characteristic peaks (CH, H, C₂, CO...
and O peaks) in the spectrum of the CH$_4$+O$_2$ DBD was lower compared to that using plasma catalysis (Fe catalyst). This result can be associated with the adsorption of reactive plasma species on the catalyst surface, which has been proved to significantly reduce the intensity of the spectrum [51]. Moreover, packing the catalyst into the DBD limits the formation of filamentary microdischarges due to the reduction of the void space in the discharge gap (Fig. S7). Instead, surface discharge is dominant in the plasma-catalytic reactions, which has been well recognized in previous studies [4][52]. Moreover, the presence of a catalyst in a IPC process could change the distribution of electron energy distribution and thus produce more reactive plasma species for the reactions [53] [54].

In the plasma-catalytic surface reactions, the majority of the reactions take place via the Eley-Rideal (E-R) and Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanisms [19], whereas in thermal catalytic reactions, the L-H mechanism dominates. In the thermal catalytic POM reaction, CH$_4$ is dissociatively chemisorbed on active sites to form adsorbed CH$_{4,x}$ species, followed by further reactions with surface adsorbed O species (generated from the adsorption and dissociation of O$_2$), forming syngas or methanol via carboxylate intermediate steps. However, molecular adsorption is not a spontaneous process considering the interaction potential of the molecule-catalyst system. A theoretical study by Wang et al. described radical fragments as having a much stronger interaction with metal surfaces compared to molecules, which makes radical fragments highly reactive during the chemisorption process [55].

Fig. 13 shows the possible reaction routes in the plasma-catalytic POM to the major oxygenated product (methanol) over the Fe/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Surface adsorbed CH$_x$ species are found to be crucial for methanol formation. Regarding the E-R mechanism (Fig. 13a), the key radicals, such as CH$_x$ (x = 1, 2, 3), O and OH, formed in the gas phase, can be directly adsorbed onto the catalyst
surface. Then, the adsorbed CH₃ species can be further oxidized to form CH₃O by O and OH species generated in the plasma gas-phase reactions, followed by stepwise hydrogenation on the catalyst surfaces to produce methanol. In addition, the results of CH₄-TPD analysis (Fig. S5) confirm the significance of the L-H mechanism in the plasma-catalytic POM over the Fe catalyst (Fig. 13b). The gaseous CH₄ (including its excited states) molecules could be directly adsorbed on the catalyst surface, followed by the cleavage of a C-H bond of CH₄ to form surface CHₓ (x = 1, 2, 3) species. These adsorbed species can further react with other oxidative species (e.g. O and OH) present on the catalysts to form methanol directly and indirectly. It is well known that Fe-based catalysts are also effective in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) [56]. Fe³⁺ can act as a strong adsorptive and catalytic site to activate CO molecules [57]. Therefore, the stepwise hydrogenation of CO also contributes to methanol synthesis due to the presence of syngas in the gaseous products (Fig. 9b). However, due to the relatively low concentration of CO₂ formed in this process, the contribution of CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol could be insignificant.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Fig.13.** Proposed mechanistic routes in the plasma-catalytic synthesis of methanol on the Fe/γ-Al₂O₃ surface.
4. Conclusions

The plasma-catalytic POM for the synthesis of a range of value-added liquid fuels and chemicals has been successfully demonstrated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The influence of three different $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-supported transition metal oxide catalysts on the plasma-catalytic POM reaction has been investigated. Among all the catalysts, Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst was found to achieve the highest methanol selectivity of 36% followed by Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (29%), Ni/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (26%) and $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (21.8%). Compared to the plasma POM reaction without a catalyst, the combination of the plasma with the Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst almost doubled the conversion of methane with the highest methanol yield being $\sim$5%. The presence of the Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst in the discharge considerably enhanced the selectivities of C$_2^+$ oxygenates including ethanol (3%) and acetic acid (6.4%), which can be attributed to the presence of more acid sites on the surface of the Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Both Cu/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts were found to produce $\sim$71% liquid fuels and chemicals. The enhanced plasma-catalytic performance for the production of oxygenates can be attributed to the plasma-enhanced surface reactions apart from the plasma gas-phase reactions, as well as the change of the discharge properties (e.g. enhanced electric field). The surface CH$_x$ species formed through the direct adsorption from CH$_x$ radicals in the plasma gas-phase reactions via E-R mechanism or through the dissociation of adsorbed CH$_4$ on the surface are found to be crucial for methanol formation.
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