DEVELOPING A DESIGN FRAMEWORK FOR THE MASS CUSTOMISATION OF HOUSING IN SAUDI ARABIA: A CRITICAL REVIEW

Abstract. This paper explores the suitability of mass customisation (MC) technologies and techniques in order to provide affordable housing solutions for Saudi Arabia. In particular, the paper analyses ten articles filtered through 1.165 publications searched by using the keywords ‘mass customisation housing or off-site construction’ in the databases Scopus, CumlnCAD, ScienceDirect, and Engineer village and categorised them based on their suitability for the Saudi Arabian context. Our findings include a comparative analysis chart evaluating workflows, tools and technologies on their suitability for the MC design as well as an MC workflow proposal for including parametric design and digital fabrication tools and techniques.
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1. Introduction

Mass customisation (MC) is a design and manufacturing approach that focuses on providing customised goods at a low cost (Ganji et al, 2018). Seeking to exploit mass customisation concepts such as modular
standardisation, configuration, and flexible manufacturing requires the use of several tools to assemble and generate customised items for commercialisation under comparable conditions as serially produced standard products. MC can be applied in various industries, such as product design, vehicle design and architecture. It progresses throughout the stages of principle design, planning, manufacturing, and assembly. However, the architectural project's fabrication stage takes place largely on-site rather than in the manufacturer's location (Winch, 2003). MC gives more durability, improved quality, shorter time, and decreased cost. In contrast, mass production commonly results in identical, monotonous homes that no longer satisfy market desires for individualised design (Noguchi and Friedman, 2019). On the other hand, personalised design is a vital characteristic in satisfying the individual needs of homeowners; yet, customisation increases design costs (Smith, 1998). It is creating modular components that are mass-produced yet can be constructed into a broad range of final products. That is one of the most thriving standards of MC, and it reduces costs while increasing personalisation (Noguchi and Friedman, 2019). MC integrates mass production through mass design to achieve qualities of customised design at an affordable cost. Mass housing production consists of three primary notions. First, it is built utilising standardised design and factory building processes (Altan et al. 2016). Secondly, it is based on state leaders’ belief in equal living circumstances as a societal ideal (Urban, 2012).

Finally, it is used to reduce costs in a short period of time. Consequently, this study explores the state-of-the-art of MC in housing construction looking at three different aspects: 1) the amount of client engagement in MC, 2) the types of MC, and 3) the manner of MC implementation. It is found that there is considerable potential for MC in the housing construction sector. Despite the number of benefits promised by MC, its application in the home construction sector remains limited and building solutions, space and choice navigation tools are very deficient. As a reaction to the Fordian paradigm of mass production and standardisation, many researchers see the possibility of delivering diversity and personalisation with efficiency and economies of scale. Discussions on parametric design and fabrication are usually related to ‘Mass Customisation’, as defined by (Davis, 1987). The latter term, as stated and described by (Pine, 1993), refers to ‘the mass manufacturing of individually personalised goods and services, which combines the idea of personalisation with the economic cost involved with standardisation.'
2. A Brief History of Housing in Saudi Arabia

Over time, dwellings in Saudi Arabia have been modified according to the changing needs of society, technological developments in construction and the available materials. Formerly, Saudi Arabia’s traditional buildings were made of bricks comprised of mud mixed with dried hay and water baked under the sun’s rays (Al-Hathloul, 2003). Even though there were differences in socioeconomic status, all houses were generally constructed using the same building materials and designs (Chapman et al., 1999). This construction method continued until the introduction of the reinforced concrete structural framework during the late 19th century. Since then, buildings and construction in Saudi Arabia have changed drastically. New materials and new systems introduced by foreign companies and construction workers gave way to drastic architectural changes. Consequently, due to its durability, reinforced concrete has become the primary structural system solution, replacing load-bearing masonry walls, which allowed the construction of more homes to support the country’s massive population growth (Chapman et al., 1999). Even though the idea of using reinforced concrete buildings came from western countries, the local community has used it differently due to its culture and specific religious and privacy regulations (Bahammam, 1987). The changes in the Saudi Arabian lifestyle have also had an impact on the typical dwelling floor plan (Bahammam, 1998). Overall, Saudi Arabian dwellings have been through three stages of building construction types throughout different periods: the traditional, transitional, and contemporary stages (Bahamam, 2018).

The early traditional buildings were constructed in accordance with Islamic rules and regulations and had certain advantages. For instance, building materials such as mud remain cool for long hours inside the wall (Tarrad, 2020). However, some issues emerged in traditional houses, such as maintaining the roof yearly due to rain conditions when clay on the rooftop absorbed the rainwater. Transitional buildings used mixed materials, including traditional materials such as wood, mud and cement blocks, which were more recent. This method was used to produce a better-quality home in terms of quality and less maintenance, especially on rainy existences. At the
time, building regulations focused on specific rules such as setback building construction from land barriers and the height of the building.

Contemporary buildings have gone through three periods, early, late, and current. Due to social media and western influences, the Saudi Arabian public has had to change the traditional house styles in a bid to ‘move with the times’. More recently, building regulations have become more robust following the addition of new building codes such as fire code, construction code, and interior code. By investigating all three stages, every generation has shown different needs in terms of accommodation design according to societal lifestyle changes, the impact of globalisation and technology. Furthermore, Western builders, contractors, and architects have influenced building techniques and ideas by incorporating and sharing their own skills and knowledge in the Saudi Arabian context. When foreigners were hired to build large-scale projects rapidly, they erected prefabricated cubic, concrete buildings, without aesthetic, contextual or environmental considerations. Governmental regulations in urban planning affected Saudi Arabian society, thus the subsequent urban form conflict has augmented the gap between members of the public and their urban environment (Al-Naim, 1993).

Furthermore, there is a shortage of housing in Saudi Arabia due to a rapidly growing population. Further causes of the housing shortage are construction delays and labour deficits (Alhajri and Alshibani, 2018). In addition, the majority of the market is still implementing conventional building techniques based on reinforced concrete structures, which makes housing construction highly inefficient and time-demanding. Still, none of the housing providers has applied client involvement.

Finally, the Saudi housing ministry has the ambition to establish an initiative to facilitate novel construction technologies and made agreements as part of its contribution to the national transformation plan 2030 aiming to increase citizens’ homeownership from 24% to 52% by 2030 (Overview, 2022).

To solve these issues, mass customisation could be used aiming for affordable, sustainable, context-friendly and user-friendly housing solutions. Therefore, this paper investigates, classifies and compares case studies of MC in constructions which could be applied in the Saudi Arabian context. In particular, we will look into the questions:

- Which methods, techniques and technologies are currently being applied globally for mass customisation of housing?
- How can we develop a design framework which can provide mass customised, affordable housing solutions for Saudi Arabia?
3. Methodology

To answer our questions, we will proceed with a systematic review of relevant literature and then analyse and categorise the related research according to the design and construction customisation methods as well as the tools and techniques used. In particular, our research method consists of four phases as can be seen in Figure 1, including 1) searching papers through databases (Scopus, IEEE, Engineering Village, CumlnCAD, and ScienceDirect), 2) screening the selected papers, 3) comparatively analysing and categorising of the papers and 4) evaluating the research in charts and tables. In the first stage, our initial search concentrated on Scopus (Elsevier's abstract and citation database), Engineer Village, CumlnCAD (Cumulative Index about publications in Computer Aided Architectural Design), and ScienceDirect, due to their reliability, and comprehensiveness. Since the off-site building is a precondition for bringing industrialisation to the market (Gann, 1996), which is a necessity for being able to mass customise, the keywords utilised for the search include ‘mass customisation’ and ‘off-site construction’.

The majority of the publications appeared in the database CumlnCAD, which is sponsored by the respective associations ACADIA, CAADRIA, eCAADe, SIGraDi, ASCAAD, and CAAD futures; nonetheless, Scopus and ScienceDirect provide journal articles and book parts connected to our research. Our search has screened 1,165 academic publications consisting of 1,067 conference papers in CumlnCAD, 88 articles and books in ScienceDirect, 20 papers and articles in Scopus, and 10 articles in Engineering village. The articles were screened in the second step by deleting duplicated papers, review publications, and low-relevance articles. The initial filtering was done with the databases’ filtering tools, and 85 items were deleted. Reading the abstracts of the remaining 1,080 papers were used to review them.

The publications on news, brief messages, and reviews were deleted in the second filtering. Likewise, items that did not deal with mass customisation housing (for example, walls, shells, and interior fittings) were eliminated. An extra 1,070 items were deleted in total, resulting in the remaining 10 articles that we present here. In the third step, the remaining ten papers were methodically classified and analysed into three parts: 1) levels of client involvement, 2) mass customisation categories, and 3) mass customisation method. The final article selection includes book chapters, journal articles, and conference papers. Lastly, in phase four, the categorised articles are analysed and compared in order to answer our research questions.
4. MASS CUSTOMISATION METHODS, TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES

Kolarevic and Duarte (2019) noted that one of the crucial points of MC is the neglected social aspect which highlights the lack of cultural characteristics considered in MC. Their MC method enables parametric design and digital fabrication of a table by using an interactive website which allows clients to determine their own desirable individual house designs. They suggested the use of local materials to reduce construction costs. Structure, enclosure, and partition components may be produced to varying degrees of automation utilising digital fabrication and robotic assembly, depending on the MC processes employed.

Yuan et al. (2018) developed an adaptive strategy for prefabricated buildings by using Building Information Modelling (BIM). The article explains in detail how to solve the problems of manufacturability and assembly of planned systems. Their methodology merges prefabricated dwellings with BIM-enabled parametric design. According to them, Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA)-oriented parametric design needs to be improved regularly to be implemented. Through DFMA-oriented parametric design, the researchers concluded that incorporating domain
experience from the manufacturing and assembly stages can increase the success rate of the design.

Gazel et al. (2018) designed a partial model using MC for large-scale housing focusing on principles such as variability, flexibility, and prefabrication. Their methodology includes a modular, parametric system set up in a BIM modelling environment. It allows different spatial arrangements and simulates environmental comfort and construction costs (Figure 3). Their proposed platform also allows client-designer interaction. The software used in their study is Rhinoceros/Grasshopper.

Figure 3. DFMA-oriented parametric design development for prefabricated buildings.

Figure 3. Adaptable layout of a parametric modular system.
Marchesi et al. (2017) suggested adopting a mass customisation method for prefabricated timber frame panel housing which focuses on robustness and flexibility. Their methodology is employing the development of a so-called Axiomatic Design tool (AD) to examine concepts and deliver efficiently customisable solutions (Figure 4). The sublayers of walls, windows, floors, and roofs are made of standardised modular panels that are built into spatial modules based on standard board sizes. These panels can generate a variety of spatial arrangements and can be simply dismantled and replaced without affecting nearby components. The software used in their study is AD.

Figure 4. Variation of configuration space moulding by using Axiomatic Design.

Ma and Ameijde (2022) described the criteria for an adaptive modular building system and a multi-objective optimisation process for high-rise constructions. They encourage combining spatial and structural systems, otherwise, workflow conflicts may occur. Their research suggests full customisation. They utilised the Rhino/Grasshopper plugin ‘Wallacei’ to develop different apartment configurations based on diverse lifestyle preferences. Figure 5 presents assemblies of their 'kit-of-parts' system that is used for all buildings, which can be altered and customised to meet the needs of various occupants. Prefabricated components are assembled onto in-situ concrete cast core elements, which serve as the primary load-bearing structure.

Figure 5. Varied spatial modular shows the flexibility in arranging the sizes
Formoso et al. (2022) attempted to standardise a product that provides affordable accommodation for developing nations (Figure 6). Their methodology is based on an assemblable modular system. Their research focused on small businesses and the MC approach they applied. They found out that the interchangeability of parts of an assembly can lead to a variety of end products implementing MC in affordable house-building projects. Moreover, additional customisation choices were offered in the earlier stage of design for the consumer involvement in the design. Consequently, the construction firm defined customer order decoupling points, in accordance with design phases and client engagement.

Anane et al. (2022) suggested a modular building framework for design and production. They suggested discrete design (DD) as a strategy for MC and show how a BIM-driven discrete design method may be used in conjunction with computational design to create modular structures. Their methodology is based on off-site fabrication construction supported by BIM (Figure 7). They suggested a modular system which includes plumbing. Furthermore, off-site manufacturing will make use of robotic arm cells that can perform cutting and assembly tasks.
Alwisy et al. (2018) presented a systematic methodology for automating the design and fabrication of modular, wood-framed residential buildings based on the platform framing construction method. Building information modelling (BIM) was implemented to facilitate design automation and drafts for manufacturing. They developed the tool Modular Construction Manufacturing Pro (MCMPro), which generates sets of shop drawings and material take-off lists needed for framing module walls, floors and ceilings ready to be used for the production line (Figure 8).

**Figure 7. A framework of the DD for modular construction driven by BIM design**

**Figure 8. A methodology of the design process**
Bakhshi et al. (2022) developed a BIM-related algorithm that allows assembly and customisation in high quality (Figure 9) as well as the participation of the client in the building configuration process based on assembly limitations. They claim that compared to the current strategies offered to implement prefabricated construction, their ‘Prefabricated Information Model’ provides marketing experts with a product and building-oriented understanding.

Wang et al. (2022) proposed a 'skeletal' parametric scheme for generating building layout variations to optimize a performance-based design (Figure 10). Their skeletal parametric scheme tool was used to generate building layout configurations. It can create plan/construction variations using a collection of skeletal lines based on numerous architectural features and aligned with parameters like sidewalks, space, and setback regulations. They claim that using parametric models will optimise the design and will increase the overall construction quality providing designers with various design possibilities. The software used in their study is Rhinoceros/Grasshopper.
5. Findings

Our findings are collectively illustrated in Table 1. Consequently, we can observe that MC interactive products should not be fully customised, and the level of customisation could be between customised standardisation and tailored customisation (Kolarevic and Duarte, 2019) and (Rocha et al, 2016). The three primary deciding factors—time, cost, and building quality—are also viewed as advantages of adopting modular construction. The modular building technique is a key breakthrough that promises to provide the construction industry with contemporary ways to build dwellings quickly and effectively to satisfy demand. Due to the higher initial costs needed during the planning and design phases, which were out of the reach of conventional builders, the cost was frequently perceived as a barrier to off-site activity (Young et al., 2020). Similarly, the majority of recent studies have shown that parametric tools may help the MC process by reducing the design process time and data storage (Kolarevic, 2015). (Kolarevic and Duarte, 2019); (Rocha et al., 2016); (Gazel et al., 2018) noticed the simplicity of design for MC is important. Visualisation and simulation appear to be of great importance in the majority of studies because it increases clients’ satisfaction. MC helps to reduce construction time due to efficient workflows and doesn’t rely on weather conditions, (Kolarevic and Duarte, 2019), (Rocha, 2016). OSM housing approach mainly facilitates the construction process to occur concurrently, reducing the amount of time necessary for the construction, cost and quality (Seidu et al., 2021).

Overall, we have identified two types of MC: full customisation and segment customisation (Figure 11). Full customisation allows the client to be involved in the entire design process, while part customisation tolerates the client to be involved in the segment design process customisation is limited. Manufactures can provide a degree of flexibility for users in the design from simple to complex based on client preferences. Client involvement can take place in different ways and starts from pure standardisation, segment standardisation, customised standardisation, tailored customisation and pure customisation as shown in Figure 12.
TABLE 1. The ten articles are analysed on the side of MC design and optimisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass Customisation design</th>
<th>Type of MC</th>
<th>MC tools</th>
<th>MC method</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Level of client involvement</th>
<th>Type of article</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building a modular model in mass housing scale with principles in terms of variability, flexibility, and prefabrication</td>
<td>Part Customisation</td>
<td>Parametric Modelling</td>
<td>Prefabrication Modular Model</td>
<td>Steel Frame</td>
<td>Grasshopper</td>
<td>Choice Options</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Gazel et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopting the mass customisation of prefabricated panels housing</td>
<td>Full Customisation</td>
<td>Systematic Approach.</td>
<td>Prefabricated Housing</td>
<td>Timber</td>
<td>Axiomatic Design (AD)</td>
<td>Full Involvement</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Marchesi et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parametric provides a viable method to produce building layout configurations.</td>
<td>Part Customisation</td>
<td>Parametric Modelling</td>
<td>Analysis of Two Cases Design Optimization</td>
<td>Grasshopper</td>
<td>Layout Variations</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An off-site robotic prefabrication proposal for a modular home using discrete architectural ideas</td>
<td>Full Customisation</td>
<td>Discrete Design.</td>
<td>Discrete Aggregation Tools</td>
<td>Composed of Wooden Parts Interlocked</td>
<td>BIM</td>
<td>Full Involvement</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Anane et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical integrated methodologies for implementation in the OSC industry, combined with a design framework</td>
<td>Part Customisation</td>
<td>Parametric Modelling</td>
<td>Prefabrication</td>
<td>BIM</td>
<td>Choice Options</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Bakhshi et al. (2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA) into the design of prefabricated buildings</td>
<td>Full Customisation</td>
<td>DFMA-Oriented Parametric Design</td>
<td>Prefabrication and Assembly Method</td>
<td>Composition of off-site cast concrete and precast elements</td>
<td>BIM</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Yuan et al. (2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardise a product of affordable accommodation projects in developing nations has been challenged</td>
<td>Part Customisation</td>
<td>Analysis of customer demand for customisation</td>
<td>Mixed Customisation Levels</td>
<td>Traditional Building Technologies</td>
<td>Custom Choice</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Formoso et al. (2022)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tailored customisation could provide design selection, layout choices, finishing, materials selection, and catalogues. Tailored customisation could be applied conventionally by a face-to-face meeting with the client or online by
giving options to the client, such as an open design involving, design selection, layout choices, dialogue, finishing, materials selection, and catalogues.

**Figure 11.** Categories of Mass Customisation.

**Figure 12.** Client’s integration level of Mass Customisation.

6. Conclusion

Looking at our findings one can see that MC was difficult to achieve up to recent years, as it was implemented by conventional methods which are inefficient due to the required time for data processing and labour. However, emerging tools and techniques such as data clouds, smartphones, parametric design and digital fabrication have enabled new possibilities. Client involvement may occur even in preliminary design stages via internet browsers or phone applications. Furthermore, there is a plethora of websites and design communities where designers exchange their knowledge, designs,
and scripts. In this regard, Hippel (2005) argues that clients who design can develop precisely what they desire, instead of depending on producers to function as their (sometimes very inadequate) agents. Furthermore, among the most effective ways of achieving MC is the use of parametric tools. Kolarevic (2015) suggests that a mass customisable house should be parametrically specified, interactively planned (through a website or an app), and digitally built, employing file-to-factory procedures in order to achieve ‘real’ personalisation. Another factor for the success of MC is that decision-makers such as government policymakers and stakeholders need to be included in the process (Gazel et al., 2018).

Combining the structural system with the spatial system of a house through parametrisation is an efficient way to obtain and optimise MC production. There were numerous implementations of MC in the last decade, which did not engage with layout and structural systems but only allowed client involvement on exterior applications and finishing materials. As a consequence of our analysis, we identified the need for a novel file-to-fabrication framework utilising parametric tools and responding to the stakeholders’ needs and allowing their involvement to participate creatively in the design process. Consequently, we propose a novel, parametric MC method as shown in Figure 13. It allows the involvement of both designer and client via an interactive interface linked to floor plans, room sizes, elevations and courtyards. All data will become available to the designer via a data cloud, thus he can start the design process. The interaction will continue in all design and construction phases.

*Figure 13. A proposed methodology for MC.*
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